Projektas Informatikos ir programų sistemų studijų programų kokybės gerinimas (VP1-2.2-ŠMM-07-K-02-039)



## Model-based evaluations

### Lecture 15 dr. Kristina Lapin



www.id-book.com

### Predictive models

 Provide a way of evaluating products or designs

- without directly involving users.

- Less expensive than user testing.
- Usefulness limited to systems with predictable tasks
  - e.g., telephone answering systems, mobiles, cell phones, etc.
- Based on expert error-free behavior.

### What is GOMS?

- A family of user interface modeling techniques
- Goals, Operators, Methods, and Selection rules
  - Higher level than KLM
  - Input: detailed description of UI and task(s)
  - Output: various qualitative and quantitative measures

### GOMS

#### • Goals

- what the user wants to achieve eg. find a website.
- Operators
  - the cognitive processes & physical actions needed to attain goals,
  - e.g. decide which search engine to use.
- Methods
  - the procedures to accomplish the goals,
  - eg. drag mouse over field, type in keywords, press the go button.
- Selection rules
  - decide which method to select when there is more than one.

### What can GOMS model?

- Task must be goal-directed
  - Some activities are more goal-directed than others
  - Even creative activities contain goaldirected tasks
- Task must be a routine cognitive skill
- Can include serial and parallel tasks

### **GOMS** Output

- Functionality coverage and consistency
  - Does UI contain needed functions?
  - Are similar tasks performed similarly? (NGOMSL only)
- Operator sequence
  - In what order are individual operations done?
  - Abstraction of operations may vary among models

### GOMS Output (cont'd)

- Execution time
  - By expert user
- Error recovery
- Procedure learning time (NGOMSL only)
  - Useful for relative comparison only
  - Does not include time for learning domain knowledge

### Applications of GOMS analysis

- Comparing UI designs
- Profiling
- Building a help system
  - GOMS modelling makes user tasks and goals explicit
  - Can suggest questions users will ask and the answers

### GOMS Example 1: PDA Text Entry

- goal: enter-text-PDA
  - move-pen-to-text-start
  - goal: enter-word-PDA
  - …repeat until no more words
    - write-letter ...repeat until no more letters
    - [select: goal: correct-misrecognized-word] ...if incorrect
- expansion of correct-misrecognized-word goal:
  - move-pen-to-incorrect-letter
  - write-letter

### **GOMS** Example

#### Move text in a word processor

- (example from Hochstein)

GOAL:	EDIT-MANUSCRIPT				
	GOAL:	EDIT-U	UNIT-TASK repeat until no more unit tasks		
		GOAL:	ACQUIRE UNIT-TASK		
			GOAL: GET-NEXT-PAGE if at end of manuscript page		
			GOAL: GET-FROM-MANUSCRIPT		
		GOAL:	EXECUTE-UNIT-TASK if a unit task was found		
			GOAL: MODIFY-TEXT		
			. [select: GOAL: MOVE-TEXT*if text is to be moved		
			. GOAL: DELETE-PHRASE if a phrase is to be deleted		
			. GOAL: INSERT-WORD] if a word is to be inserted		
			. VERIFY-EDIT		

### Members of GOMS Family

- Keystroke-Level Model (KLM)
  - Card, Moran, Newell (1983)
- CMN-GOMS
  - Card, Moran, Newell GOMS
- Natural GOMS Language (NGOMSL)
  - --Kieras (1988+)
- Critical Path Method or Cognitive, Perceptual, and Motor GOMS (CPM-GOMS)
  – John (1990+)

### **Real-world Applications of GOMS**

### • KLM

- Mouse-based text editor
- Mechanical CAD system

### NGOMSL

- -TV control system
- Nuclear power plant operator's associate

### • CPM-GOMS

– Telephone operator workstation

### Advantages of GOMS

- Gives several qualitative and quantitative measures
- Model explains why the results are what they are
- Less work (?) than usability study
- Easy (?) to modify when interface is revised
- Research ongoing for tools to aid modeling process

### **Disadvantages of GOMS**

- Not as easy as heuristic analysis, guidelines, or cognitive walkthrough
- Only works for goal-directed tasks
- Assumes tasks are performed by expert users
- Evaluator must pick users' tasks/goals
- Does not address several important UI issues, such as
  - readability of text
  - memorability of icons, commands
- Does not address social or organizational impact

### **GOMS Summary**

- Provides info about many important UI properties
- But does not tell you most of what you want to know about a UI
- Substantial effort to do initial model,
  - but still (potentially) easier than user testing
- Changing later is much less work than initial generation

### Keystroke-level Model

- Another "discount" usability method
- Main idea:
  - Walk through the interface, counting how many operations it would take an expert user to perform
  - Look for ways to optimize
  - Look for potential sources of error
- KLM is very low-level (tiny operations)

### Keystroke level model

- GOMS has also been developed to provide a quantitative model - the keystroke level model.
- The keystroke model allows predictions to be made about how long it takes an expert user to perform a task.

### Keystroke-Level Model

#### • How to make a KLM

- List specific actions user does to perform task
  - Keystrokes and button presses
  - Mouse movements
  - Hand movements between keyboard & mouse
  - System response time (if it makes user wait)
- Add Mental operators
- Assign execution times to steps
- Add up execution times
- Only provides execution time and operator sequence

### Response times for keystroke level operators (Card et al., 1983)

Operator	Description	Time (sec)
K	Pressing a single key or button	
	Average skilled typist (55 wpm)	0.22
	Average non-skilled typist (40 wpm)	0.28
	Pressing shift or control key	0.08
	Typist unfamiliar with the keyboard	1.20
P	Pointing with a mouse or other device on a	0.40
	display to select an object.	
	This value is derived from Fitts' Law which is	
	discussed below.	
P1	Clicking the mouse or similar device	0.20
H	Bring 'home' hands on the keyboard or other	0.40
	device	
М	Mentally prepare/respond	1.35
R(t)	The response time is counted only if it causes	l t
	the user to wait.	

### Rules for placing Ms

- Rule 0, initial insertion for candidate Ms
  - insert Ms in front of all Ks
  - place Ms in front of Ps that select commands, but not Ps that select arguments for the commands
- Rule 1, deletion of anticipated Ms
  - delete M between two operators if the second operator is fully anticipated in the previous one (e.g., PMK  $\rightarrow$  PK)
- Rule 2, deletion of Ms within cognitive units
  - in a string of MKs that form a cognitive unit, delete all Ms except the first (e.g., "Helen of Troy", 745.8)

### Rules for placing Ms

- Rule 3, deletion of Ms before consecutive terminators
  - if K is redundant delimiter at end of a cognitive unit, delete the M in front of it, e.g., )'
- Rule 4, deletion of Ms that are terminators of commands
  - if K is a delimiter that follows a constant string then delete the M in front of it
    - (not for arguments or varying strings)
- Rule 5, deletion of overlapped Ms
  - do not count any M that overlaps an R
    - (e.g., user waiting for computer response)

# Exercise: temperature converter

- Convert from degrees Fahrenheit (F) to Celsius (C) or v.v., requests equally distributed
- Use keyboard or GID to enter temperature
- Assume active window awaiting input, an average of four typed characters (including point and sign), and no typing errors
- Task: create and analyze your own interface!

# The dialog box solution with radio buttons...



### Keystroke-level model

#### • Case 1: select conversion direction

- move hand to mouse,
- point to desired button,
- click on radio button
  - (HPK)
- move hands back to keyboard, type four characters, tap enter
  - (HPKHKKKKK)
- Rule 0 (HMPMKHMKMKMKMKMK)
- Rule 1, 2, 4 (HMPKHMKKKKMK)
- Estimated time = 7.15 sec
- Case 2: correct conversion direction already selected
- MKKKKMK = 3.7 sec
- Average time = (7.15 + 3.7) / 2 = 5.4 sec

#### Temperature converter: alternative mockup



- Temperature scale and range is correct:
  - HPK
  - PK
  - НМРМКМРМК
  - HMPKPK=
  - 0.4+1.35+1.1+0.2+1.1 +0.2=4.35

#### Temperature converter: alternative mockup



Set the scale and range. Try to convert few temperatures: scale and range is set in 3 sec. (operacija S)

- HPKSKPKSKPKSKPKK
- H+3(M+P+K+S+K)+M+P+K +K = 0,4+3\*(1,35+0,2+3,0+0,2) +1,35+0,4+0,2+0,2=

• 16,8 sec.

### Comparison

#### Average time: 5,7 sec. Average time: 10,58 sec.





### Summary

- First mockup is more efficient
- Alternatyve mockup suggested an improvement
  - Reduce the radio buttons

### Improvement

Temperatūrų keitiklis

Įrašykite temperatūrą į tinkamą langelį ir kitame langelyje gausite perkaičiuotą:



### Fitts' Law (Fitts, 1954)

- Fitts' Law predicts that the time to point at an object using a device is a function of the distance from the target object & the object's size.
- The further away & the smaller the object, the longer the time to locate it & point to it.
- Fitts' Law is useful for evaluating systems for which the time to locate an object is important, e.g., a cell phone, a handheld devices.

### Fitts' Law

Time = time to move the pointer to a target D = distance between the pointer and the target S = size of the target

k is a constant of approximately 200 ms/bit



Same ID  $\rightarrow$  Same Difficulty

Time =  $k \log_2(D/S+1)$ 

Slide adapted from Pourang Irani

### Fitts' Law

 $Time = k \log_2(D/S+1)$ 

Time = time to move the pointer to a target D = distance between the pointer and the target

S = size of the target

k is a constant of approximately 200 ms/bit



Smaller ID  $\rightarrow$  Easier

Slide adapted from Pourang Irani

### Fitts' Law

Time = time to move the pointer to a target D = distance between the pointer and the target S = size of the target

k is a constant of approximately 200 ms/bit



 $Time = k \log_2(D/S+1)$ 

Slide adapted from Pourang Irani

### Fitts Law in interfaces

- As the distance increases, movement takes longer and
  - as the size decreases selection again takes longer



Fitts's Law: The Importance of Size and Distance in UI Design. Interaction Design Foundation

### Which selection is quicker: compose or new?

Mail F	 mail	message	l' drive
compose	 inbox.	. new.	delete.
inbox	folders		•
drafts		·	

User experience tutorial: Fitts's Law | lynda.com

- Context menu is quick,
  - Because is placed close to cursor position



Fitts's Law: The Importance of Size and Distance in UI Design.Interaction Design Foundation43

- Macintosh task selection is quicler than Windows
  - Windows menu height: 5 mm
    - $50+150 \log_2(80/5+1) \approx 663 \text{ msec}$
  - Mac menu can be selected in 50 mm
    - 50+150 log2( 80/50+1 ) ≈ 256 msec



- **The outer edges and corners** of the graphical user interface can be acquired with greater speed than anywhere else in the display,
  - due to the pinning action of the screen.
    - As the user is restricted in their movements the pointing device cannot move any further when they reach the outermost points of the screen; fixing the cursor at a point on the periphery of the display.



Fitts's Law: The Importance of Size and Distance in UI Design. Interaction Design Foundation

- **Pop-up menus** better support immediate selection of interactive elements than dropdown menus as
  - the user does not have to move the cursor from its current position.
    - Therefore, graphical designs that allow the user to interact without moving help to reduce the 'travel time'.

Contact Informatio	on
* Web Salutation	
	What name do you want to appear on the site when you are logged in?
* Title	÷
* First Name	Dame Dr Duke of
* Surname	Earl Earl of
Date of Birth	Father General
ddress 1	Group Captain H R H the Duchess of H R H the Duke of
Address 2	H R H The Princess HE Mr HE Senora HE The French Ambassador M His Highness
<sup>c</sup> City/Town	His Hon His Hon Judge
ounty/State/Province	Hon Hon Ambassador



Fitts's Law: The Importance of Size and Distance in UI Design.Interaction Design Foundation46

 More frequent actions could be bigger than others



User experience tutorial: Fitts's Law | lynda.com

### A Quiz Designed to Give You Fitts

http://www.asktog.com/columns/022Designe dToGiveFitts.html

Microsoft Toolbars offer the user the option of displaying a label below each tool. Name at least one reason why labeled tools can be accessed faster. (Assume, for this, that the user knows the tool.)





### A Quiz Designed to Give You Fitts

1. The label becomes part of the target. The target is therefore bigger. Bigger targets, all else being equal, can always be acccessed faster, by Fitt's Law.

2. When labels are not used, the tool icons crowd together.

Slide adapted from Pourang Irani

### Fitts' Law evaluations

Input Technique	Mouse	Stylus	Eye with Manual Click	Eye with Dwell Time Click
Movement	.66	.63	.57	.45
time <i>(s.e.)</i>	(.03)	(.03)	(.04)	(.02)

Vertegaal (2008)

Table 1. Mean movement times (s) and standard error per input technique.

Input Technique	Mouse	Stylus	Eye with Manual Click	Eye with Dwell Time Click
Error rate	4.6%	6.2%	11.7%	42.9%
<i>(s.e.)</i>	(1.3%)	(1.5%)	(3.5%)	(3.7%)

Table 2. Mean error rates and standard error per input technique.

## Interface efficiency





- This input is non-efficient
  - Does not provide any useful information to a system

### Hick's Law

- Hick's Law: Making the choice easier for users
  - The more alternative options, the more time is required to select
  - $-Laikas = k \log_2(n+1), k \sim 150 msec$

### Hick's Law



### Hick's Law

• 1 of 8 choices:

### $Time = 150 * \log_2(8+1) = 480(msec)$

• 2 of 4 choices:

 $Time = 150*\log_2(2+1) + 150*\log_2(4+1) = 240 + 350 = 590(msec)$ 

• Conclusions?

### Summary

- We can use Cognitive Modeling to make predictions about interface usability
- Complementary to Usability Studies
- In practice:
  - GOMS And KLM not used often
  - Fitts' law often used for determining best case for new kinds of input methods

### Key points

- Inspections can be used to evaluate requirements, mockups, functional prototypes, or systems.
- User testing & heuristic evaluation may reveal different usability problems.
- Walkthroughs are focused so are suitable for evaluating small parts of a product.
- Analytics involves collecting data about users activity on a website or product
- The GOMS and KLM models and Fitts' Law can be used to predict expert, error-free performance for certain kinds of tasks.

### References

- Rogers, Sharp, Preece (2011). Interaction design: Beyond Human Computer Interaction. Wiley.
- Fitts P.M. (1954) The information capacity of the human motos system in controlling amplitude of movement, *Journal of Experimentaql psychology* **47**, 381-391.

### References

- Nielsen Norman Group <u>Reports</u>. Usability of iPad Apps and Websites: 2 Reports With Research Findings
- K. Väänänen-Vainio-Mattila, Minna Wäljas (2009) Development of Evaluation Heuristics for Web Service User Experience. In Proceedings of the 27th international conference extended abstracts on Human factors in computing systems, ACM, pp. <u>3679-3684</u>