

SELBERG'S CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM ON THE CRITICAL LINE AND THE LERCH ZETA-FUNCTION

RAMŪNAS GARUNKŠTIS, ANDRIUS GRIGUTIS, AND ANTANAS LAURINČIKAS

ABSTRACT. A. Selberg obtained a limit theorem for the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(1/2 + it)$. D. Joyner, A. Laurinčikas, A. Selberg, K. Tsang considered limit theorems for $\zeta(\sigma + it)$ when σ is near to $1/2$. We derive limit theorems for the Lerch zeta-function $L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it)$ when the pair of parameters (λ, α) are near to $(1, 1)$, $(1/2, 1)$, $(1, 1/2)$ or $(1/2, 1/2)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the forties of the last century A. Selberg proved that on the critical line $\sigma = 1/2$ suitably normalized logarithm of the Riemann zeta-function is asymptotically normally distributed. Here we formulate his result separately for real and imaginary parts. As usual, denote by

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}} du$$

the standard normal distribution function. We have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ t \in [0, T] : \frac{\log |\zeta(1/2 + it)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} < x \right\} = \Phi(x)$$

and the same equality is true if we replace $\log |\zeta(1/2 + it)|$ by $\arg \zeta(1/2 + it)$. The limit law remains the same, if σ is “near” to the critical line. Let $\beta_T = \log T$ for $1/2 \leq \sigma \leq 1/2 + 1/\log T$ and $\beta_T = \log(1/(\sigma - 1/2))$ for $1/2 + 1/\log T < \sigma < 1/2 + o(1)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas} \left\{ t \in [0, T] : \frac{\log |\zeta(\sigma + it)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \beta_T}} < x \right\} = \Phi(x).$$

Similar results, when σ is “near” to the critical line, are known for $\arg \zeta(\sigma + it)$. For these results and their proofs see Bombieri and Hejhal [1], Joyner [3], Laurinčikas [4], Selberg [7], Tsang [8].

The Riemann zeta-function is a special case of the more general Lerch zeta-function

$$L(\lambda, \alpha, s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda n}}{(n + \alpha)^s},$$

where $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ (for more information see Laurinčikas and Garunkštis [5]). In general, the Lerch zeta-function has no Euler product. The proof of the limit theorem for the Riemann zeta-function relies on the Euler product and it is not clear whether limit theorems can be obtained for all Lerch zeta-functions. Similarly as Selberg's limit theorem remains valid for $\zeta(\sigma + it)$ when σ is near to $1/2$, one expects that the same is true for

$L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it)$ when (λ, α) is near to $(1, 1)$, $(1/2, 1)$, $(1, 1/2)$ or $(1/2, 1/2)$. For these four cases we have

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} L(1, 1, s) &= \zeta(s), & L(1, 1/2, s) &= (2^s - 1)\zeta(s), \\ L(1/2, 1, s) &= (1 - 2^{1-s})\zeta(s), & \text{and} & \quad L(1/2, 1/2, s) = 2^s L(s, \chi), \end{aligned}$$

where χ is a Dirichlet character mod 4 with $\chi(3) = -1$.

First we consider limit theorems for $\log |L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it)|$. We use notation

$$\nu_T(\dots) = T^{-1} \text{meas}\{t \in [0, T] : \dots\},$$

where in place of dots a condition satisfied by t is written. By ε we always denote a positive fixed number, which can be as small as we want.

Theorem 1.1. *Let*

$$1 - T^{-1-\varepsilon} < \lambda < 1 - e^{-T/\log T} \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad |\lambda - 1/2| < T^{-1-\varepsilon}$$

and let

$$1 - T^{-1-\varepsilon} < \alpha \leq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad |\alpha - 1/2| < T^{-1-\varepsilon}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\frac{\log |L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} < x \right) = \Phi(x).$$

If the parameter λ is “very” close to 1 then the Lerch zeta-function becomes “very” large. From the approximation by a finite sum (2.1) (see the next section) we have that

$$|L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it)| = \frac{e^{-\pi t}}{(1 - \{\lambda\})^{1/2}} + O\left(t^{1/4} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\right)$$

uniformly for $0 < \lambda, \alpha \leq 1$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We can remove that large term and again obtain central limit theorem when λ is “very” close to one. Let

$$E(\lambda, \alpha) = -(2\pi/t)^{it} \exp\left\{it + \frac{3\pi i}{4} - 2\pi i \lambda \alpha + 2\pi i \alpha\right\} \frac{e^{-\pi t}}{(1 - \{\lambda\})^{1/2-it}}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $1 - e^{-T/\log T} \leq \lambda < 1$ and let*

$$1 - T^{-1-\varepsilon} < \alpha \leq 1 \quad \text{or} \quad |\alpha - 1/2| < T^{-1-\varepsilon}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\frac{\log |L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it) - E(\lambda, \alpha)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} < x \right) = \Phi(x).$$

Now we turn to limit theorems for $\arg L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$. The Lerch zeta-function $L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ does not vanish for $\Re s > 1 + \alpha$ (see [5]). We follow tradition and define $\arg L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ by continuous displacement from the point $s = 2$ (choosing $-\pi < \arg L(\lambda, \alpha, 2) \leq \pi$) along the straight lines connecting the points $s = 2, 2 + it$, and $\sigma + it$. If on this way there is a zero, then $\arg L(\lambda, \alpha, s)$ remains undefined. We note that countably many zeros do not affect our measures.

We start with cases when (λ, α) tends to $(1, 1)$ and $(1/2, 1)$.

Theorem 1.3. *Let*

$$1 - T^{-1-\varepsilon} < \lambda < 1 - e^{-T/\log T} \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad |\lambda - 1/2| < T^{-1-\varepsilon}$$

and let $1 - T^{-1-\varepsilon} < \alpha \leq 1$. Then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\frac{\arg L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it)}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} < x \right) = \Phi(x).$$

In cases (λ, α) tends to $(1, 1/2)$ and $(1/2, 1/2)$ we consider $L(1, 1/2, s) = (2^s - 1)\zeta(s)$ and $L(1/2, 1/2, s) = 2^s L(s, \chi)$. We have that $\arg(2^{1/2+it} - 1)$ and $\arg(2^{1/2+it})$ are equal to $\frac{\log 2}{2\pi}t + O(1)$. Selberg's limit theorem is also valid for argument of Dirichlet L -functions (Bombieri and Hejhal [1]). Thus we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let*

$$1 - T^{-1-\varepsilon} < \lambda < 1 - e^{-T/\log T} \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad |\lambda - 1/2| < T^{-1-\varepsilon}$$

and let $|\alpha - 1/2| < T^{-1-\varepsilon}$. Then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\frac{\arg L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it) - t \log 2/2\pi}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} < x \right) = \Phi(x).$$

Similarly as before, Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 remains true for $1 - e^{-T/\log T} \leq \lambda < 1$ if we replace $\arg L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it)$ and $\arg L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it) - t \log 2/2\pi$ by $\arg(L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it) - E(\lambda, \alpha))$ and $\arg(L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it) - E(\lambda, \alpha)) - t \log 2/2\pi$, accordingly.

Theorems are proved in the next section.

2. PROOFS

First we prove several lemmas and later derive theorems.

Lemma 2.1. *For (λ, α) equal to $(1, 1)$, $(1/2, 1)$, $(1, 1/2)$ or $(1/2, 1/2)$, we have that*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\frac{\log |L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} < x \right) = \Phi(x).$$

Proof. One proof of Selberg's central limit theorem can be found in Bombieri and Hejhal [1]. Their formulation

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\frac{\log |\zeta(1/2 + it)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log t}} < x \right) = \Phi(x)$$

is equivalent to

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\frac{\log |\zeta(1/2 + it)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} < x \right) = \Phi(x),$$

because of the bound (see Lemma 5 in [1])

$$\int_T^{2T} |\log \zeta(1/2 + it)| dt = O\left(T \sqrt{\log \log T}\right),$$

where $T \rightarrow \infty$. They considered general class of zeta functions, which includes Dirichlet L -functions. Thus Lemma 2.1 is true for $(\lambda, \alpha) = (1, 1)$ and $(1/2, 1/2)$.

If $(\lambda, \alpha) = (1/2, 1)$, then the lemma follows by (1.1) and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log |L(1/2, 1, 1/2 + it)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} &= \frac{\log |\zeta(1/2 + it)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} + \frac{\log |1 - 2^{1/2-it}|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} \\ &= \frac{\log |\zeta(1/2 + it)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

The remaining case is analogous. \square

Using a limit theorem for the argument of Dirichlet L -functions (see [1]), Lemma 2.1 can be extended to $\arg L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it)$.

The following lemma will be needed for Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.2. *If a sequence of distribution functions $F_n(x)$ converges weakly to continuous distribution function $F(x)$, then this convergence is uniform in x , $-\infty < x < \infty$.*

Proof. The lemma can be found in Petrov [6]. \square

If two functions are near to each other then, of course, we expect they are distributed similarly.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $l(T) \rightarrow +\infty$ and $h(T) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $T \rightarrow \infty$. Let f and f_T be two measurable complex functions defined on real numbers. Let f and f_T have only countably many zeros. Assume that*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\frac{\log |f(t)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} < x \right) = \Phi(x)$$

and, for $t \in [T/h(T), T]$, assume that $|f_T(t) - f(t)| < \exp(-l(T)\sqrt{\log \log T})$. Then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T \left(\frac{\log |f_T(t)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} < x \right) = \Phi(x).$$

Proof. If $|f(t)| \neq 0$ then

$$\log |f_T(t)| = \log |f(t)| + \log \left(1 + \frac{|f_T(t)| - |f(t)|}{|f(t)|} \right).$$

From the last equality we see that $\log |f_T(t)|$ is “near” to $\log |f(t)|$ if $|f(t)|$ is not very “small”. We expect that there are “not many” t for which $|f(t)|$ is very “small”. Accordingly, we divide the interval $[T/h(T), T]$ in to two subsets

$$J_T = \left\{ t \in [T/h(T), T] : \frac{\log |f(t)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} < -l(T) \right\}$$

and

$$I_T = \{t \in [T/h(T), T] : t \notin J_T\}.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and conditions of the lemma (recall that $\Phi(x)$ denotes the standard normal distribution function) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{J_T\} &= \nu_T \left(\frac{\log |f(t)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} < -l(T) \right) + o(1) \\ &= \Phi(-l(T)) + o(1) = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$. For $t \in I_T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log |f_T(t)| &= \log |f(t)| + \log \left(1 + O \left(\frac{|f_T(t) - f(t)|}{|f(t)|} \right) \right) \\ &= \log |f(t)| + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now we can finish the proof. As $T \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\nu_T \left(\frac{\log |f_T(t)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} < x \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{t \in I_T : \frac{\log |f_T(t)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} \leq x\} + \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{t \in J_T : \frac{\log |f_T(t)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} \leq x\} + o(1) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{t \in I_T : \frac{\log |f(t)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} + o(1) \leq x\} + O \left(\frac{1}{T} \text{meas}\{t \in J_T\} \right) + o(1) \\ &= \nu_T \left(\frac{\log |f(t)|}{\sqrt{2^{-1} \log \log T}} \leq x + o(1) \right) + o(1) = \Phi(x) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

□

We consider how close are two Lerch zeta-functions if their parameters are also close. In the previous section we have introduced the function

$$E(\lambda, \alpha) = -(2\pi/t)^{it} \exp \left\{ it + \frac{3\pi i}{4} - 2\pi i \lambda \alpha + 2\pi i \alpha \right\} \frac{e^{-\pi t}}{(1 - \{\lambda\})^{1/2-it}}.$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let δ be a positive fixed number and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 > \delta$. Let $t \rightarrow \infty$ and $\max\{|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2|, |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|\} \ll t^{-3/4}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &L(\lambda_1, \alpha_1, 1/2 + it) - E(\lambda_1, \alpha_1) - L(\lambda_2, \alpha_2, 1/2 + it) + E(\lambda_2, \alpha_2) \\ &\ll t (|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| + |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|) + t^{-1/4}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The Lerch zeta-function can be approximated by a finite sum. We have (see Garunkštis [2]) that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it) &= \sum_{0 \leq n \leq \sqrt{t/2\pi}} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda n}}{(n + \alpha)^{1/2+it}} \\ &+ \left(\frac{2\pi}{t} \right)^{it} \exp \left\{ it + \frac{\pi i}{4} - 2\pi i \lambda \alpha \right\} \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq \sqrt{t/2\pi}} \frac{e^{-2\pi i \alpha n}}{(n + \lambda)^{1/2-it}} - \frac{e^{-\pi t + \pi i/2 + 2\pi i \alpha}}{(1 - \{\lambda\})^{1/2-it}} \right) \\ &+ O(t^{-1/4}) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in λ and α . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& L(\lambda_1, \alpha_1, 1/2 + it) - E(\lambda_1, \alpha_1) - L(\lambda_2, \alpha_2, 1/2 + it) + E(\lambda_2, \alpha_2) \\
(2.2) \quad & \ll \left| \sum_{0 \leq n \leq \sqrt{t}} \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda_1 n} (n + \alpha_2)^{1/2+it} - e^{2\pi i \lambda_2 n} (n + \alpha_1)^{1/2+it}}{((n + \alpha_1)(n + \alpha_2))^{1/2+it}} \right| \\
& + \left| \sum_{0 \leq n \leq \sqrt{t}} \frac{e^{-2\pi i (\alpha_1 n + \alpha_1 \lambda_1)} (n + \lambda_2)^{1/2-it} - e^{-2\pi i (\alpha_2 n + \alpha_2 \lambda_2)} (n + \lambda_1)^{1/2-it}}{((n + \lambda_1)(n + \lambda_2))^{1/2-it}} \right| \\
& + O(t^{-1/4}).
\end{aligned}$$

We consider the first sum in formula (2.2).

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{0 \leq n \leq \sqrt{t}} (n + \alpha_1)^{-1/2-it} e^{2\pi i \lambda_1 n} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i n (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}{n + \alpha_2} \right)^{1/2+it} \right) \\
& \ll \sum_{0 \leq n \leq \sqrt{t}} (n + \delta)^{-1/2} \left((n + 1) |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1| + \frac{t |\alpha_2 - \alpha_1|}{n + \delta} + t |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1| |\alpha_2 - \alpha_1| \right) \\
& \ll t^{3/4} |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1| + t |\alpha_2 - \alpha_1| + t^{5/4} |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1| |\alpha_2 - \alpha_1|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we derive that the second sum in formula (2.2) is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ll t^{3/4} |\alpha_2 - \alpha_1| + t |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1| + t^{1/4} |\alpha_2 \lambda_2 - \alpha_1 \lambda_1| \\
& + t^{5/4} |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1| |\alpha_2 - \alpha_1| + t |\alpha_2 \lambda_2 - \alpha_1 \lambda_1| |\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|.
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. □

Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 follows by Lemmas 2.1, 2.3, and 2.4. □

Proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 can be easily rewritten for $\arg L(\lambda, \alpha, 1/2 + it)$ (see the note after the proof of Lemma 2.1). □

REFERENCES

- [1] E. BOMBIERI AND D.A. HEJHAL, *On the distribution of zeros of linear combinations of Euler products*, Duke Math. J. **80** (1995), 821–865
- [2] R. GARUNKŠTIS, *Approximation of the Lerch zeta-function*, Lith. Math. J., 44 (2)(2004), 176–180,
- [3] D. JOYNER, *Distribution theorems of L-functions*, Longman Scientific, Harlow 1986
- [4] A. LAURINČIKAS, *Limit Theorems for the Riemann Zeta-Function*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London 1994
- [5] A. LAURINČIKAS AND R. GARUNKŠTIS, *The Lerch zeta-function*, Kluwer Academic Publishers 2002
- [6] V.V. PETROV, *Limit Theorems for Sums of Independent Random Variables*, Nauka, Moscow 1987 (in Russian)
- [7] A. SELBERG, *Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series*, in Proceedings of the Amalfi Conference on Analytic Number Theory, September 1989, Università di Salerno, Salerno, 1992, 367–385; Collected Papers, Vol. II, Springer-Vedag, Berlin, 1991, 47–63
- [8] K. TSANG, *The distribution of the values of the Riemann zeta-function*, Ph. D. Thesis, Princeton University 1984

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, VILNIUS UNIVERSITY, NAUGARDUKO 24, 03225
VILNIUS, LITHUANIA

E-mail address: ramunas.garunkstis@mif.vu.lt

E-mail address: andrius.grigutis@mif.vu.lt

E-mail address: antanas.laurincikas@maf.vu.lt