Spatially distributed $PT$ symmetric refractive index using four-wave-mixing in a double-$\Lambda$ setup
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ABSTRACT

We propose a scheme for designing Parity-Time ($PT$) spatially symmetric structures of the refractive index for a generated probe field propagating in a double-$\Lambda$ (DL) atomic medium. By creating position-dependent atomic coherences and manipulating them controllably—via applied control fields and one-photon detuning—we achieve $PT$ symmetry of the refractive index. This causes the refractive index components to become symmetric/antisymmetric functions of the transverse coordinate. We demonstrate that, in order to achieve $PT$ condition for DL, a four-wave mixing process can be employed, with one of the control fields and detuning being anti-symmetric functions of the transverse coordinates, while the other control field being either symmetric or constant. Furthermore, we illustrate that this $PT$ symmetry holds for different distances along the propagation direction and analyze its dependence on the phase matching conditions.

1. Introduction

Quantum mechanics relies heavily on the concept of the Hamiltonian $H$, which serves as a fundamental operator in determining the behavior of quantum systems. The Hamiltonian plays a critical role in dictating the system’s energy spectrum and its time evolution which are essential characteristics of any quantum system. According to standard quantum mechanics, the Hamiltonian must satisfy the Hermitian condition to ensure that the energy spectrum is real, and the time evolution is unitary. However, several cases have been shown that certain non-Hermitian Hamiltonians can also exhibit completely real spectra, providing new insights into the behavior of quantum systems. Two examples of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians that can yield real spectra are the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations [1,2] and the Schrödinger equation with a potential featuring a parabolic real part and a linear imaginary part [3].

Hermiticity is a sufficient, but not necessary condition for achieving an all-real spectrum for an operator. The spectrum of any Hermitian operator is purely real, but the opposite is not always true. Bender and Boettcher’s seminal work [4] showed that a broad class of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians that are invariant under parity and time transformations, or $PT$-symmetric, can display all-real spectra. Moreover, Bender and his colleagues introduced the concepts of unbroken

and broken $PT$ symmetry, highlighting the deep connection between $PT$ symmetry and the reality of $H$’s spectrum. The concept of $PT$ symmetry has since extended to various branches of physics, including optics [5–9], atomic physics [10–15], acoustics [16,17], plasmonics [18,19], and electrical circuits [20].

Optics provides an ideal platform for realizing and experimentally testing $PT$-related concepts due to the similarity between the Schrödinger equation and the Maxwell equation in the paraxial approximation. In optics, a medium’s complex refractive-index, $n(x) = n_R(x) + i n_I(x)$, with $x$ representing a spatial coordinate, relates to the concept of the optical potential $V(x)$. The potential is $PT$-symmetric when $V(x) = V^∗(−x)$, implying that $n(x) = n^∗(−x)$, with the real (imaginary) part being an even (odd) function of $x$, respectively.

Recent advancements in the development of optical materials with diverse functionalities have led to significant progress in $PT$-symmetric optical theory [21,22]. A series of experiments have confirmed the theoretical results, utilizing various optical technologies such as synthetic photonic lattices [23,24], multi-level quantum systems [25–42], optical waveguides [43–45], optomechanical systems [46–50], acoustic crystals [51] and optical microcavities [52,53]. These studies have not only validated the theory, but also led to practical applications that are
highly attractive. Among these applications are unidirectional invisible light propagation [54–56], coherent perfect absorbers [57,58], giant light amplification [59] and novel lasers [60–62]. The potential of PT-symmetric optical theory is vast, and its applications hold much promise for the future of optical devices. The advancements made thus far demonstrate the immense value of research in this field and highlight the exciting possibilities that lie ahead.

PT-symmetric coherent atomic gases stand out among the different PT-symmetric optical systems due to their distinctive attributes. Firstly, their refractive index maintains a true PT-symmetric balance between gain and loss throughout the space. Secondly, they offer the advantage of being actively controllable and precisely manipulable in situ by adjusting system parameters. Thirdly, their inherent non-linearity, as they typically operate in resonance regimes between light and atoms, enhances the non-linear optical effects of PT-symmetric optical systems.

Electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) is a phenomenon in which a medium becomes transparent to light at a particular frequency range due to the interference of two or more light fields, typically a weak probe beam and a strong control beam [63]. This interference creates a “dark state” in the atomic medium, which inhibits absorption of the probe beam, rendering the medium transparent. PT-symmetric systems, including PT-symmetric atomic gases, can exhibit EIT. In such systems, the PT symmetry breaking can enhance or reduce EIT, depending on the specific system parameters. Therefore, PT-symmetric systems can be used to control and manipulate EIT and can offer new possibilities for developing devices based on EIT [26,43,64].

In this paper, we propose a novel four-level double-A (DL) scheme for crafting PT-symmetric refractive index profiles. Our approach leverages the four-wave-mixing (FWM) technique, departing from the direct utilization of EIT, as seen in prior scenarios [25–32,46]. This direct utilization of EIT, as seen in prior scenarios [25–32,46], may extend its applicability to the atomic systems, where proper position-dependent control field or position-dependent detuning is achieved for the DL system. This, in turn, causes the second probe beam to get generated due to the FWM and a pair of strong control fields with one probe field incident at the input. Once the second probe beam gets generated due to the FWM and a proper position-dependent control field or position-dependent detuning is achieved, a spatially dependent refractive index profile fulfilling the PT-symmetry condition for optical potential can be achieved. Indeed, the refractive index is related to the susceptibility of an atomic medium as n = 1 + χ/2 where both refractive index n and susceptibility χ are complex quantities. The optical susceptibility at some transition i ↔ j relates directly to the core coherence term of a density matrix ρij. Creating a position dependent coherence ρij(x) through possible scenarios with suitable symmetry in the transverse coordinate x, the PT symmetry of the refractive index is seen to be achieved for the DL model. Accordingly, the real/imaginary parts of the separate refractive index components become symmetric/antisymmetric functions of the transverse coordinate [26]. By designing PT-symmetric spatially distributed refractive index patterns, one can effectively generate optical potentials within the DL atomic medium. Below we consider possible scenarios where the PT condition can be achieved with the DL setup.

2. Model, theory and results

We consider an atom-light interaction setup with four energy levels comprising a DL configuration shown in Fig. 1. Each atom is characterized by two metastable ground states |g⟩ and |s⟩ and two excited states |e1⟩ and |e2⟩. This configuration is based on a mixture of two subsystems of A configuration. The medium is illuminated by a pair of probe fields and a pair of control fields. Each A component operates by using the coherent laser fields Ω1 and Ω2 to regulate the transmission of probe fields Ωp1 and Ωp2 across the DL system. This, in turn, causes the resonant probe beams to become transparent as a result of the destructive quantum interference [65].

Let us commence with formulating the fundamental equations that elucidate the interplay between optical fields and DL atoms. To simplify the analysis, we shall overlook the motion of the atomic center-of-mass. Furthermore, we assume that the strength of the probe fields Ωp1 and Ωp2 is significantly lower than that of the control fields Ωc1 and Ωc2. Therefore, all atoms will persist in the ground state |g⟩, and we can consider the impact of the probe fields as a perturbation while deducing the following equations [66], for the transitions |e1⟩ ↔ |g⟩ and |e2⟩ ↔ |g⟩

\[ \dot{\rho}_{e_1g} = \frac{g_{e_1g}}{2} \rho_{e_1g} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{c_1} \rho_{c_1g} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{p_1} \rho_{p_1g}, \]

\[ \dot{\rho}_{e_2g} = \left( i \delta - \frac{g_{e_2g}}{2} \right) \rho_{e_2g} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{c_2} \rho_{c_2g} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{p_2} \rho_{p_2g}, \]

\[ \dot{\rho}_{g} = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{c_1} \rho_{c_1g} + \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{c_2} \rho_{c_2g}, \]

where the one-photon detuning between the frequencies of the driving transition and the |s⟩ ↔ |e2⟩ transition is represented by δ = ωs2 − ωe2, where g_{e_1g}, g_{e_2g}, ωs, and ωe are the frequency of the driving field and the |s⟩ ↔ |e2⟩ transition. Considering decay rates for the excited states |e1⟩ and |e2⟩ to be equal γe1 = γe2 = Γ, the steady-state equations for the coherence terms can be rewritten as

\[ \rho_{e_1g} = \frac{\Omega_{c_1}}{2|\Omega|^2} |\Omega|^2 |e_1g|^2 + |\Omega|^2 |e_1g|^2 \exp \left( -\frac{a_x}{2L_d} \right) \],

\[ \rho_{e_2g} = \frac{\Omega_{c_2}}{2|\Omega|^2} |\Omega|^2 |e_2g|^2 \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\frac{a_x}{2L_d} \right) \right), \]

where L is the total Rabi frequency of the control fields and |Ω|^2 = |Ωc1|^2 + |Ωc2|^2. Using the equations for the coherence terms and assuming the second probe field is zero at the medium’s entrance (Ωp2(z = 0) = 0), the equations for both probe fields during their propagation read [66]

\[ \rho_{e_1g}(z) = \frac{\Omega_{p_1}}{2|\Omega|^2} |\Omega|^2 |e_1g|^2 \exp \left( \frac{-i a_x}{2L_d} \right), \]

\[ \rho_{e_2g}(z) = \frac{\Omega_{p_2}}{2|\Omega|^2} |\Omega|^2 |e_2g|^2 \exp \left( \frac{-i a_x}{2L_d} \right). \]

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the four-level DL atom-light coupling configuration.
Let us now explore the scenarios in which this PT condition can be fulfilled, considering \( \Omega(x) \) to be a real quantity which is even/odd function with respect to \( x \). Specifically, we will investigate two cases: one with zero detuning \( \delta = 0 \) and another with non-zero position-dependent detuning. First, we consider the simpler case of no detuning, \( \delta = 0 \). This results in a position-independent quantity \( d = i \), leading to \( \frac{1}{d(x)} + \frac{1}{d'(x)} = 0 \). In this case, the PT condition (12) simplifies to

\[
\frac{\Omega_{1}^{*}(x)\Omega_{2}(x)}{\Omega_{1}(x)\Omega_{2}^{*}(x)} = \frac{2\delta(x)|\Omega_{1}(x)|^2 + i\Gamma|\Omega(x)|^2}{2\delta'(x)|\Omega_{1}(x)|^2 - i\Gamma|\Omega(x)|^2} \times \exp\left[\frac{iz}{2L} - \frac{1}{d(x)} + \frac{1}{d'(x)} \right].
\]

In this way, the atom undergoes oscillations in a periodic pattern at the end of the atomic medium (\( az = L \)), demonstrating that the spatially dependent control field \( \Omega_{1} \) leads the imaginary part of the probe susceptibility as an odd function with periodic resonant oscillations. In this way, the atom undergoes oscillations in a periodic pattern.
across space, switching between regions of gain and loss. Notably, the dispersion (real part of susceptibility) remains constant and unaffected by the spatial variation of the control field (exhibiting an even function behavior). The 2D plots presented in Fig. 2(b) and (c) exhibit the propagation of the real and imaginary parts of $\rho_{p_p}(x,z)$ in the medium. As the probe beam travels deeper into the medium, the modulation of loss and gain regions in the DL system causes small distortions in the $PT$ symmetry patterns. However, the overall trend of $PT$ symmetry remains intact.

Next, we delve into a more generalized scenario of non-zero detuning that satisfies the $PT$ symmetry condition. Clearly, for a real anti-symmetric detuning function $\delta(-x) = -\delta(x)$, one gets $d^\prime(-x) = -d(x)$, leading to the convenient relation $\frac{1}{d(x)} + \frac{1}{d^\prime(-x)} = 0$. This simplifies Eq. (12) to

$$\frac{\Omega_1(x)\Omega_2(x)}{\Omega_1(-x)\Omega_2^\prime(-x)} = \frac{2\delta(x)|\Omega_1(x)|^2 + |\Gamma|\Omega(x)|^2}{2\delta(-x)|\Omega_1(-x)|^2 - i|\Gamma|\Omega(-x)|^2} = -1,$$

and the $PT$-symmetry condition holds true once again for any odd function $\Omega_1(\Omega_1(-x) = -\Omega_1(x))$ and constant $\Omega_2$, allowing to achieve a periodically modulated refractive index in space. Fig. 3(a) illustrates the real and imaginary components of $\rho_{p_p}$, for the control field $\Omega_1(x) = \Omega_0 \sin(kx)$ and for the position-dependent detuning $\delta(x)$. To achieve experimental control of the position-dependent detuning, one can consider an in-phase spatial modulation to $\delta(x)$, akin to $\Omega_1(x)$, given by $\delta(x) = \delta_0 \sin(kx)$. This modulation can be realized through the AC Stark effect induced by an external periodic far-detuned CW laser field, causing corresponding energy level shifts of the state $|e_i\rangle$ [25,67]. For more details, we refer the interested readers to [25,68]. The periodic transverse modulation of one-photon detuning contributes to the spatial symmetry with periodic modulation in both the real and imaginary parts of the refractive index.

The plots in Fig. 3(a) show periodic patterns of loss and gain, which interestingly balance each other at both negative and positive spatial distances $x$, thereby giving rise to $PT$ symmetry structures. The plots in panels (b) and (c) demonstrate the modification of $PT$ symmetry patterns as the propagation distance $z$ increases. As the probe beam travels through space, the oscillations exhibit a recurring pattern that alternates between regions of gain and loss. This indicates that the atom becomes localized at specific points of absorption or gain. Although the patterns may experience slight changes with the propagation distance, the overall $PT$ symmetry remains invariant again.

Fig. 4 illustrates the $PT$ symmetry patterns under non-zero detuning, varying $\Omega_{c,0}$ and $\delta_0$. By setting $\Omega_{c,0} = 5\Gamma$ and $\delta_0 = 2\Gamma$, the spatial patterns exhibit more distinct, localized oscillations in a periodic fashion across space, alternating between gain and loss regions (see Fig. 4(a)). Remarkably, the $PT$ symmetry persists. In comparison to Fig. 3(b,c), the $PT$ symmetry patterns during propagation reveal significantly narrower structures for regions of absorption and gain.

The FWM process, as well known, exhibits the sensitivity to the phase mismatch [69,70]. To explore the impact of the phase mismatch on the $PT$ symmetry in our setup, we assume all light beams are copropagating along the $z$ direction. Then we can introduce a phase mismatch parameter, denoted as $\Delta_k = (k_{p_1} - k_{c_1} + k_{c_2} - k_{p_2})$, where $k_{p_1}, k_{p_2}, k_{c_1}$ and $k_{c_2}$ represent the wave-vectors of all involved light beams along the $z$ axis. Note that the phase mismatch $\Delta_k$ can be reduced if one considers to vary the angle between beams in FWM process. Introducing the phase mismatch $\Delta_k$ into Maxwell equations describing the propagation of the probe fields, following the procedure given in [66], and taking $\Omega_{p_p}(0) = 0$, one gets the following relations

$$\Omega_{p_1}(z) = \frac{\Omega_{p_1}(0)}{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2} \left[ (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) e^{i\Delta_k z} + (\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) e^{-i\Delta_k z} \right],$$

\[\text{Fig. 3. (a): Plot for the real and imaginary parts of the coherence term } \rho_{p_p}(x,z) \text{ at } az = L. \text{ (b) and (c): 2D plots of the real and imaginary parts of } \rho_{p_p}(x,z) \text{ for continuous } z. \text{ Here, } \delta = \delta_0 \sin(kx) \text{ (} \delta_0 = \Gamma \text{) and } \Omega_1(x) = \Omega_{c,0} \sin(kx) \text{ (} \Omega_{c,0} = \Gamma \text{), and other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.}\]
the analysis shows that the involvement of phase mismatch causes \( \rho \) can see there are slight variations in the peak heights for the real part of \( \delta \) and in Fig. 5(a) that in the case of zero detuning the real part becomes also an odd function, breaking the symmetry. Although Fig. 5(b) may seem to be a better case, a closer look also shows a symmetry violation. One can see there are slight variations in the peak heights for the real part of \( \rho \) and (c): 2D plots of the real and imaginary parts of \( \rho \) for continuous \( z \).

**Fig. 4.** (a): Plot for the real and imaginary parts of the coherence term \( \rho_{12}(x, z) \) at \( az = L \). (b) and (c): 2D plots of the real and imaginary parts of \( \rho_{23}(x, z) \) for continuous \( z \). Here, \( \delta = \delta_0 \sin(kx) (\delta_0 = 2\Gamma) \) and \( Q_1(x) = Q_2(x, y) \sin(kx) (Q_2 = 5\Gamma) \), and other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.

\[ \Omega_{12}(z) = \frac{\Omega_{12,0}}{q_2} \left( q_1 - q_2 \right) \left[ (q_1 - q_2) \cos(kx) + (s_2 - s_1) \sin(kx) \right], \]

where parameters \( q_1, q_2, s_1, s_2 \) are expressed as

\[ q_1(x) = -A(x) \frac{|Q_1|}{|Q_2|}, \quad q_2(x) = A(x) \frac{Q_2}{|Q_2|^2}, \]

\[ s_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -B(x) \pm \sqrt{B^2(x) + 4A(x)A_1Q_1^2} \right], \]

and we introduced variables \( A(x) = i\alpha/2Ld(x) \), \( B(x) = A(x) + iA_1 \). Here, we explicitly denote that all parameters are dependent on the transverse coordinate \( x \).

It can be seen that for \( A_1 = 0 \), Eqs. (16) and (17) reduce to Eqs. (6) and (7). Substituting Eqs. (16) and (17) into Eqs. (4), (5) we obtain the \( z \)-dependent coherence terms \( \rho_{12}(x, z) \) and \( \rho_{23}(x, z) \). Note, that the presence of phase mismatch \( A_1 \) in the coherence terms makes their analytical expressions more complicated, so we use the numerical approach to conduct the \( PT \) symmetry patterns analysis. Fig. 5 illustrates simulations of \( \rho_{12}(x, z) \) at the end of the medium in the case where the phase mismatch is involved. One can see that in both cases, for zero \( \delta(x) = 0 \) and nonzero \( \delta(x) = \delta_0 \sin(kx) \) detuning, as illustrated in panels (a) and (b), the imaginary part remains an odd function. However, the behavior of the real part is affected, compared to the previously considered phase matching cases. Indeed, one can easily see from the Fig. 5(a) that in the case of zero detuning the real part becomes also an odd function, breaking the \( PT \) symmetry. Although Fig. 5(b) may seem to be a better case, a closer look also shows a symmetry violation. One can see there are slight variations in the peak heights for the real part of \( \rho_{23}(x, z) \), indicating the deviation from a perfect \( PT \) symmetry. Thus, the analysis shows that the involvement of phase mismatch causes \( PT \) symmetry disruption, being particularly pronounced in the absence of detuning.

### 3. Concluding remarks

In summary, we developed an approach based on FWM in DL scheme to obtain spatially distributed refractive index \( PT \) symmetry patterns. Specifically, we derived Maxwell–Bloch equations for the DL system and obtained relations for the steady-state atomic coherences. Since the atomic coherences are position dependent (through the underlying dependencies of the control fields and detuning), by selecting the appropriate transverse coordinate symmetries of the control and detuning functions, conditions for the \( PT \) symmetry were obtained. In particular, we found that the \( PT \) symmetry can be realized when one of the control fields and detuning are odd function each, while another control field is either even or constant. The pattern symmetry retains stability during the FWM process remaining invariant at different propagation distances. It should be noted, however, that the symmetry holds only for the phase matching condition. Otherwise, in the case of phase mismatch, the real part of coherence acquires deviations leading to the disruption of \( PT \) symmetry.

The design of \( PT \)-symmetric spatially distributed refractive index patterns enables the creation of optical potentials in the DL atomic medium, offering unprecedented control and manipulation of light propagation. The achieved \( PT \) symmetry holds promise for various applications, including compact integrated photonic devices, efficient sensors, and investigations into nonreciprocal light transport. Furthermore, this study significantly contributes to the broader understanding of \( PT \)-symmetric systems and their potential applications in diverse physical and engineering disciplines.

A double-\( \Lambda \) (DL) configuration can be practically realized through experimental setups, such as employing \( ^{87}\text{Rb} \) atoms. This establishes a
DL level scheme, where the fundamental state \( |\psi\rangle = \Omega_1 = \Omega_1 \sin(kx), \Omega_{10} = \Gamma, \delta_0 = \Gamma \), and other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.

Fig. 5. Plots for the real and imaginary parts of the coherence term \( \rho_{y,y}(x,z) \) at \( uz = L \) for the cases (a) \( \delta = 0 \) and (b) \( \delta = \delta_0 \sin(kx) \) when phase mismatch \( \delta_0 \) is non-zero. Here, \( \Omega_1 = \Omega_1 \sin(kx), \Omega_{10} = \Gamma, \delta_0 = \Gamma \), and other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.
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