

EUROSÜSTEEN

WORKING PAPER SERIES

DOES RAISING THE PENSION AGE PROLONG WORKING LIFE? EVIDENCE FROM PENSION AGE REFORM IN ESTONIA Orsolya Soosaar, Allan Puur, Lauri Leppik

EKP 2002

3 2020

The Working Paper is available on the <u>Eesti Pank web site</u> DOI: 10.23656/25045520/032020/0176 ISBN 978-9949-606-70-2 (pdf) Eesti Pank Working Paper Series, ISSN 2504-5520; 3/2020 (pdf)

Does raising the pension age prolong working life? Evidence from pension age reform in Estonia

Orsolya Soosaar, Allan Puur and Lauri Leppik*

Abstract

We estimate how raising the statutory retirement age affects employment by considering the pension age reform in Estonia, that gradually raised the normal retirement age (NRA) for women from 58 to 61.5 and the early retirement age (ERA) from 56 to 59.5 during the period of 2001 to 2011. The analysis employs a difference-in-differences estimation strategy on register data covering women born between 1943 and 1952. The reform did have an impact on the employment rate of affected women, with an estimated increase of 4.1 percentage points associated with the rise in the NRA, and 3.4 percentage points with the rise in the ERA. These estimates are at the lower end of those found in previous studies for other countries, pointing to the role of contextual features such as lower replace-ment rates and fewer disincentives to work while drawing pensions.

JEL Codes: H31, H55, J14, J26

Keywords: retirement age, older workers, employment

The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of Eesti Pank or the Eurosystem.

^{*} Authors' affiliations and emails: Orsolya Soosaar (corresponding author): Tallinn University of Technology and Eesti Pank, email: <u>orsolya.soosaar@eestipank.ee</u>. Allan Puur: Tallinn University. Lauri Leppik: Tallinn University.

The writing of this article was supported by the Estonian Research Council (Grant PRG71) and Infotechnological Mobility Observatory.

Non-technical summary

Raising the retirement age is a measure that has been widely adopted across industrialised countries to relieve the pressure of demographics on public pension systems. Delaying labour market exit is an important goal of the pension age reforms because it directly affects both the size of the fiscal gain and the social costs from poverty risks. Raising the retirement age can induce people to exit the labour market later through several channels. The pension age increase is equivalent to a reduction of social security wealth, which should increase the labour supply. With the higher eligibility age often tax incentives to retire are also shifted, which make retiring at the previous eligibility age costlier. Part of the population may be liquidity constrained, and simply cannot afford to exit the labour force before pension eligibility. Finally, several authors suggest, that the statutory pension age is perceived to signal the appropriate age to retire for a part of the population. There is a growing body of empirical literature estimating the causal effect of pension age reforms on labour market outcomes and this paper seeks to contribute to that literature by analysing the pension age reform in Estonia.

Our study looks at the Estonian pension reform that raised the normal and early retirement ages for women by three and a half years in six-month steps from 2000 to 2011. This reform can be viewed as a natural experiment that breaks the one-to-one relationship between biological age and pension eligibility. We look at whether people whose entitlement to an oldage pension was postponed stayed in employment longer, claimed unemployment benefits, or became economically inactive. We estimate the causal effect of the reform with the differencein-differences estimation strategy on micro-level monthly data from the social insurance register. The identification comes from comparing the probabilities of women who are just under the retirement age and women who are just past the retirement age being employed, unemployed or inactive.

Our results show the reform did indeed have a significant impact on the employment rate for the women who it affected. However, the magnitude of that effect is in the lower range of those found by earlier studies in other countries. The link between exit from the labour market and pension eligibility is weakened by the low level of pensions, and taking a pension early is effectively discouraged by a permanent reduction in the amount paid and a very punitive earnings test for drawing a pension before the normal retirement age. People with high disutility from working were able to exit the labour market through the disability pension system and so did not respond to the changes in the old-age pension eligibility. We also found evidence that the rise in the ERA had less impact on people with tertiary education and rural residents, while it had more on people with an immigrant background. Raising the early retirement age had a small positive effect on unemployment benefit claims, which reduced the fiscal savings from the pension reform.

Our study contributes to the empirical literature evaluating pension age reform in two ways. Firstly, our relatively low estimates show that the employment effect of lifting the retirement age depends strongly on the features of the social security system. Low replacement rates in combination with a lack of tax and financial disincentives tend to weaken the link between pension eligibility and employment decisions. Secondly, we add to the scarce literature on the outcomes of pension age reforms in Central and Eastern European countries, where private pension savings are relatively low, there are next to no company pension schemes, and income tax systems are often less progressive.

Contents

1. Introduction	4
2. Previous research into pension age reforms	5
3. Institutional features	7
4. Data, variables and descriptive statistics	11
5. Empirical methodology	16
6. Results	17
6.1 The employment response to the rise in the retirement age	17
6.2 The response of unemployment and inactivity to the rise in the early retirement	
age	19
6.3 Heterogeneity of the response to the rise in the retirement age	20
7. Conclusions	23
References	25
Appendix	28

1. Introduction

Demographic trends of ageing are raising the pressure on the fiscal sustainability of public pension systems in most industrialised countries. A widely used policy measure for relieving this pressure is to raise the age at which pensions can be drawn. In the European Union, nearly all member states have either raised their pension age over recent decades or are currently in the process of doing so gradually. Furthermore, nine of those EU member states have decided to link further rises in the pension age automatically to advances in life expectancy (European Commission, 2018). The pension age is also being raised in many non-European OECD countries, including the US, Australia and Japan (OECD, 2017).

An important goal of the pension age reforms aside from fiscal savings is to increase the labour supply of older individuals. The capacity of the labour market to absorb the additional supply of labour has important implications for benefit substitution and so for the social insurance budget as a whole. The employment outcomes also have an impact on the social costs of such reforms through the poverty risk for those ineligible for pension benefits. Although pension age reforms have become common in Europe, empirical investigations into the actual labour market outcomes of these reforms are so far available only for a limited number of Western European countries, namely Austria, France, Norway, Switzerland, Germany and the United Kingdom. Outside Europe the effect of pension age reform has been studied in the US (Mastrobuoni, 2009; Blau and Goldstein, 2010; Behaghel and Blau, 2012) and in Australia (Atalay and Barrett, 2015). The considerable variation between the findings of these studies about the effects of the reforms warrants further research on this topic.

This study complements the earlier ones by analysing how the statutory pension age affects labour market status using the exogenous variation that a reform of the pension age induced in the retirement age. We examine whether people whose entitlement to an old-age pension was postponed because the statutory retirement age was raised for them stayed in employment longer, claimed unemployment benefits, or became economically inactive. In the period we study from 2001 to 2011 the normal retirement age for women in Estonia was gradually lifted by six months for each birth cohort from 58 to 61.5 years of age and the early retirement age was increased from 56 to 59.5 years. We also investigate the experience of sub-groups of the population by educational attainment, place of residence (urban and rural) and immigrant status. We employ the difference-in-differences estimation strategy on micro-level monthly data from the social insurance register covering all the female residents of Estonia born be-tween 1943 and 1952.

The Estonian reform is an interesting case study in a comparative perspective for several reasons. Firstly, Estonia had a flat-rate income tax system with a constant marginal tax rate during the timeframe of the study. This reduces the effect of tax disincentives on the labour supply of those drawing a pension that arises in many countries with progressive income tax systems. Secondly, working pensioners in Estonia can still draw a full pension that makes it easier for them to extend their working life beyond the normal retirement age. Thirdly, the average replacement rate in Estonia and the spending on pensions per recipient relative to per capita GDP have been among the lowest in the EU, while the at-risk-of-poverty rate for older people has been among the highest in the EU. The low level of the public pension creates strong incentives for people to continue working after they reach retirement age. Fourthly, private savings and other non-state pension income play quite a limited role in financing retirement in

Estonia. Last but not least, Estonia offers the case of an Eastern European country with a recent history of economic and social policy transition to complement the earlier studies that have addressed pension age reform in Western European countries with long-standing pension systems.

The current study extends the literature on the impact of pension age reforms in a number of ways. By adding a new institutional context, our study contributes to a more comprehensive account of the effects of recent pension age reforms. The availability of individual-level data from the Estonian social insurance register allows us to examine the effect of pension age reform with great accuracy. Our relatively low estimates suggest that the employment effect of lifting the pension age depends strongly on the characteristics of the social security system. Low pension replacement rates in combination with a lack of tax and financial disincentives appear to reduce the effect of parametric reforms of pension eligibility criteria on employment decisions.

This article proceeds as follows. Following the introduction, section 2 provides a brief overview of previous studies on the effects of pension age reforms. Section 3 describes the Estonian setting, while section 4 presents the data and a descriptive account of our outcome variables. Section 5 summarises the identification strategy, section 6 presents the empirical results and finally, section 7 concludes the article.

2. Previous research into pension age reforms

The current paper is related to the literature about how social security systems affect retirement decisions. Early studies were mainly motivated by the steady decline in the labour force participation rate among men aged over 60 that prevailed until the 1990s in many industrialised countries (see Gruber and Wise, 1999). Social security rules were found to have sizable effects on retirement by dynamic life-cycle models in which rational forward-looking individuals choose between labour and leisure (e.g. Burtless and Moffitt, 1984; Gustman and Steinmeier, 1985; and Rust and Phelan, 1997). A pension reform was adopted in the US in 1983 that would raise the normal retirement age from 65 to 67 starting from 2003. Gustman and Steinmeier (1985) used structural models to predict that this reform would leave the employment rate 3.5% to 4% higher for the 65 to 67 age bracket, which translates to a delay of 1.2 to 1.4 months in the exit age. Mitchell and Fields (1984) used an indirect utility approach to predict a similar rise of 1.5 months in the average labour force exit age.

Empirical studies evaluating the *ex post* impact of the pension age reforms tend to find the reforms have more impact on labour market outcomes than out-of-sample *ex ante* estimates referenced above. According to Mastrobuoni (2009), the 1983 reform in the US raised the exit age from the labour market by one month for two months of rise in the NRA on average. This estimate is based on the acceleration of the trend increase in the effective labour market exit age of the cohorts affected by the pension reform compared to the pre-reform cohorts. Analysing the same US reform, Behaghel and Blau (2012) found that the peak in the pension claiming hazard rate observed at the normal retirement age shifted one-to-one with the rise in the pension age, and the peak of exit from employment also shifted. The authors of both papers argued that the reason behind the larger effects found in ex post estimations arises from the signalling effect of the statutory pension age that is added to the effect of financial incentives.

Several empirical studies have employed a difference-in-differences strategy (e.g., Staubli and Zweimüller, 2013; Vestad, 2013; Atalay and Barrett, 2015; Cribb, Emmerson, and Tetlow, 2016; Rabaté and Rochut, 2019) to estimate the effects of retirement age reforms. This method pins down the immediate effect of the rise in the pension age as a difference in the employment rate with and without pension eligibility given age, the business cycle and personal characteristics. The results obtained from these studies are summarised in the Appendix in Table A1. A common finding that emerges from all these studies is that the pension age reform has a positive effect on the employment rates of those affected but the effect size varies markedly.

At the lower end, Cribb et al. (2016) estimated that raising the earliest retirement age for women in the UK from 60 to 62 over the years 2010 to 2014 raised the employment rate at the pre-reform retirement age by 6.3 percentage points. Somewhat larger effects are found by Staubli and Zweimüller (2013), who used register data to estimate the effect of a stepwise increase in the ERA in Austria of two years for men and 3.25 years for women from 2000 to 2006. They found that the probability of being employed increased by 9.75 percentage points for men and by 11 percentage points for women among those who were no longer eligible for a pension as a result of the reform. In a more recent study on Austria using a regression kink design, Weber (2016) estimated that the same reforms led to a rise of just under five months in the average job exit age for each year the ERA rose by.

On the high side among similar studies, Rabaté and Rochut (2019) found that the rise in the eligibility age from 60 to 61 in France resulted in a large increase of 20.9 percentage points in the employment rate at the age of 60. Vestad (2013) used register data and found a rather strong effect: had the early retirement age in Norway not been cut from 64 to 62, more than two out of three early retirement pension recipients would have been employed at the age of 63. Relatively large effect was also reported by Staubli and Lalive (2016) for Switzerland. Using regression discontinuity method, they found that a one year increase in the full retirement age for Swiss women (from age 62 to 63 and from 63 to 64 years) induced an average delay in the exit from employment of 4 to 6 months.

It is not surprising that the size of the effect of the rise in the retirement age varies, because contextual factors also vary. The NBER comparative study *Retirement Around the World* (see Gruber and Wise, 1999) covers 12 industrialised countries and suggests that the fall in labour force participation rates at older ages is closely related to the implicit tax on working after the statutory retirement age. In countries where the pension adjustment is less than actuarially neutral, people are inclined to take up a pension as soon as they become eligible and to exit the labour market earlier. Gruber and Wise also argue that pension generosity, measured by the replacement rate, correlates with the employment rates of older people. In countries where pension benefits are generous, people are less motivated to work beyond the retirement age. Some studies have investigated whether liquidity constraints play a role in creating high peaks in the hazard rate for retiring at the early pension age. For instance, Rust and Phaelan (1997) found that such constraints are indeed significant for medium income workers without access to private pensions. It follows that in countries in which liquidity constraints matter less, for example because private savings can be run down, eligibility for the public pension might determine the timing of labour market exit to a lesser degree.

Another contextual feature that might affect benefit claims and the labour supply is the earnings test on pension benefits, which is often applied for those claiming benefits before they

reach the NRA. Gruber and Orszag (2003) report that the earnings test in the US becoming less strict increased benefit claims but had no significant effect on the labour supply. By contrast, Hernæs and Jia (2013) found that abolishing the earnings test for early pension claims did increase the labour supply, but mainly through the intensive margin. Laun and Wallenius (2016) pointed out that the availability of disability and unemployment insurance programmes also explains part of the variation in labour market outcomes across countries.

Although there is a fast-growing literature evaluating the effects of pension age reforms, and the pension age has been raised in an overwhelming majority of the Eastern European EU member states, there are very few studies that evaluate the effect of the pension age reforms in these countries. To the best of the authors' knowledge, the only available studies are by Cseres-Gergely (2014), which estimated that a rise in the early retirement age for Hungarian women had an employment effect in the range of 5.0–7.4 percentage points, and by Komada et al. (2019), which found the effect of the 2009 abolition of the early retirement option for most workers in Poland on labour force participation to be economically small, between one and three percentage points. However, the study found no effect on the employment rate at the normal retirement age. The author explained this unexpected finding as a strong spillover effect from the concurrent increase in the take-up of disability pensions. Our study complements the literature by analysing the effects of pension age reforms in another Eastern European setting.

From the policy perspective it is relevant not just to estimate the overall effects, but also to assess how sub-groups of the population react to rises in the pension age. Both Rabaté and Rochut (2019) and Staubli and Zweimüller (2013) found that the employment effect of the rise in the NRA increased with health. Staubli and Zweimüller (2013) also estimated that a rise in the ERA had heterogeneous effects, with the response increasing with wages. Cribb et al. (2016) found a somewhat stronger employment response for single women. In this study, we investigate variation of responses to the increase in the NRA and ERA associated with educational attainment, place of residence and immigrant status. We are interested whether certain vulnerable groups have greater difficulties in adapting their labour market behaviour to changes in pension policy, meaning they need additional policy support if the desired policy aims are to be achieved.

3. Institutional features

The current Estonian pension system is built on three pillars. The first pillar is the public payas-you-go pension scheme. The second pillar is a mandatory pre-funded defined-contribution scheme with individual retirement accounts. The third pillar is a tax-favoured voluntary private scheme. However, the institutional history of the three-pillar pension arrangement is relatively short as Estonia has transformed its pension system in stages from the early 1990s after regaining independence.¹

In this paper we focus on the first pillar, which was the main source of retirement income for the period of our study. The second pillar was launched in 2002 and is mandatory only for cohorts born in 1983 and later. The first payments from the second pillar for cohorts who joined

¹ A more detailed account of the evolution and features of the Estonian pension system is available in Leppik and Võrk (2006).

voluntarily were only made in 2009. The coverage of the third pillar remains relatively low at less than 15% of the labour force. This meant that non-state pensions and other financial assets were of marginal relevance during the period studied of 2001–2011.

The public old-age pension scheme effectively has two tiers, with employment-related oldage pensions paid to people who have an employment history of at least 15 years, and residencebased national pensions paid to people who have been resident for at least five years but do not qualify for the employment-related old-age pension. The employment-related pension is financed predominantly from an ear-marked payroll tax called the social tax that is levied on all labour income at the employer level,² while the residence-based pension is financed from general revenue transfers. Crucially for this study, the social tax contribution payments were personalised from 1999, meaning the monthly contributions of all employees had to be individually recorded by the tax and social insurance authorities.³ The individualised contributions affect one part of the state pension by topping up the flat-rate base component. The net replacement rate of the average old-age pension was in the range of 42–45% in the period studied.⁴

The statutory pension age was inherited from the previous Soviet pension system and it remained at 55 for women and 60 for men until 1994.⁵ From 1994 the pension age was set to increase gradually in six-month increments for male cohorts born after 1934 and female cohorts born after 1939, to reach 63 for men by 2001 and for women by 2016.

From April 2000 a general early retirement option was introduced that made it possible to draw an early old-age pension up to three years before the normal retirement age, this then becoming the early retirement age. Claiming the early retirement pension before the NRA permanently reduces the pension received by 0.4% per month. However, the adjustment is less than what would be needed to achieve actuarial neutrality (Medijainen, 2011). There is a 100% earnings test until the NRA is reached, meaning that the early old-age pension is not paid for the months when there is any work income.⁶ In essence, this is a very punitive earnings test.⁷ About 17% to 25% of new claimants of the old-age pension each year opted for the general early retirement pension during the period studied.

Deferring the old-age pension after the NRA has been reached increases the pension received by 0.9% per month and there is no earnings test. However, though this adjustment is higher than actuarially neutral, the option of deferring has been little used, accounting for only about

 $^{^2}$ For some categories of the inactive, such as parents caring for small children, the social tax is paid by the state.

³ However, due to some modifications in the information system of the register in 1999–2000, complete individual records are available for analysis only from 2001. This defines the beginning period of our study.

⁴ The average old-age pension at the start of the period in 2001 was 99 euros per month, rising to 305 euros by 2011 (Statistics Estonia, 2019).

⁵ In this article, we use the notions of the normal retirement age (NRA) and early retirement age (ERA) for the sake of comparison with earlier studies.

⁶ From April 2000 to the end of 2004, pension payments were suspended for labour earnings after the NRA was reached. This affected the birth cohorts 1943 to 1947, for whomit was more costly to claim an early pension during that period than it was for subsequent cohorts.

⁷ Nonetheless, once the payment of the early old-age pension is resumed, its amount is recalculated to reflect the additionally accrued employment periods, just as with a normal old-age pension.

1% of new pension claims during the period studied.⁸ Table 1 shows how the NRA and ERA rose for women during the period studied.⁹

	Normal retirement			retirement
Year of birth	Age	Year of reaching retirement age	Age	Year of reaching retirement age
1943	58	2001	57	2000
1944	58y 6m	2002 second half/ 2003 first half	56y 6m	2000
1945	59	2004	56	2001
1946	59y 6m	2005 second half/ 2006 first half	56y 6m	2002 second half/ 2003 first half
1947	60	2007	57	2004
1948	60y 6m	2008 second half/ 2009 first half	57y 6m	2005 second half/ 2006 first half
1949	61	2010	58	2007
1950	61y 6m	2011 second half/ 2012 first half	58y 6m	2008 second half/ 2009 first half
1951	62	2013	59	2010
1952	62 y 6m	2014 second half/ 2015 first half	59y 6m	2011 second half /2012 first half

Table 1: Early and normal retirement age in Estonia, women born from 1943 to 1952

Notes: For women in the 1943–1944 birth cohorts, the effective ERA was less than three years before the NRA, as they had already reached a higher age by the time the early retirement option was introduced in 2000.

Source: State Pension Insurance Act.

There are some exceptional cases when an old-age pension may be drawn before the normal pension age without penalty. The most common special case, covering about 15% of each cohort of women affected by the policy change, is that of parents or guardians who have raised three or more children or a disabled child. Such people have the right to an "old-age pension under favourable conditions". The eligibility starts one to five years before the normal retirement age depending on the number of children. About 9% of women in the population are eligible for a pension one year ahead of the NRA, 2% for one three years ahead, and 0.4% for one five years ahead. Another 4% of those in the cohorts affected receive a special early pension for having worked in specific civil service jobs such as the police and the military. These special cases (women with three or more children or a disabled child, and those receiving a special early occupational pension) are excluded from the analysis to ensure the homogeneity of the study population.

Spending on pensions in Estonia has been among the lowest in the EU, ranging from 5.8 to 8.9% of GDP during the study period, with lower values of 5.8-6.1% in the years of fast economic growth in 2001–2007, and a peak at 8.8-8.9% in the years of the economic crisis in

⁸ In recent years, the share of claimants taking a deferred old-age pension has increased slightly, reaching 4.5% of new claimants in 2017.

⁹ The pension age continued to rise for subsequent cohorts after the study period to reach 65 years by 2026, with further increases beyond 2026 linked to increases in life expectancy at the age of 65.

2009–2010. Consequently, the aggregate replacement ratio, which is the ratio of the median individual gross pensions of people aged 65–74 to the median individual gross earnings of people aged 50–59, in Estonia has been below the EU average at 0.45 in Estonia against the EU average of 0.49 in 2008 (European Commission, 2018). However, the flat-rate base amount of the old-age pension, which is about 35% of the average old-age pension, means the individual replacement rate is higher for low wage earners and lower for high wage earners.

At the same time, Estonia has witnessed some of the highest at-risk-of-poverty (AROP) rates¹⁰ in Europe for older people aged 65 and over, though there was a major fluctuation in the AROP rate for older people during the period studied, with values relatively low at below 20% in 2001–2003 and during the peak of the economic crisis in 2009–2011 but reaching over 40% in the years of the economic upsurge in 2006–2008. These sharp fluctuations are explained by the relatively equal distribution of old-age pensions, as a high share of old-age pensioners have pensions that are close to the AROP threshold, implying that even small changes in the ratio of pensions to earnings from work result in quite large changes in the share of pensioners falling below the threshold (Leppik, 2018).

The flat structure of old-age pensions is confirmed by the relatively low income quintile share ratio of 2.9 for people aged 65 and over in Estonia against the EU average of 4.1 in 2011.¹¹ Notably, the income quintile ratio for people under 65 years of age was considerably higher at 5.9 in Estonia than the EU average of 5.2 in 2011 (Eurostat).

At the same time, Estonia has had some of the highest employment rates in Europe for older workers in the 55–64 age group at above 55% from the mid-2000s and reaching 62% in 2008, exceeding the EU average by 10–17 percentage points during the period studied. The institutional features of the pension system contribute to these high employment rates for older workers. Although the reduction that applies if the pension is claimed before the NRA is less than actuarially fair, in light of an extremely punitive earnings test and the low level of pensions it is not considered a favourable exit route from the labour market. Almost all people claim a pension upon reaching the NRA, but the relatively low replacement rate of the old-age pension gives them a strong incentive to delay their labour market exit and supplement their pension with labour income if possible.

Apart from the old-age pension there are two main alternative sources of replacement income for financing an exit from the labour market, and these are public unemployment insurance and the disability pension scheme. The unemployment insurance system was introduced in 2002, and those eligible for benefits under it were people who were fully and involuntarily unemployed with at least 12 months of contributions over the preceding 24 months from 2002 to 2006, a qualification period that was extended to 36 months from 2007. The benefit payment period was up to 180 days until 2007, increasing to 270 days from 2007 for people who had paid contributions for five years or more. The unemployment insurance benefit is 50% of the former earnings for the first 100 days, after which the rate declines to 40%. For the unemployed who are not eligible for unemployment insurance benefits, a flat rate unemployment assistance

¹⁰ The at-risk-of-poverty rate (AROP) is the share of people with an equivalised disposable income (after social transfers) below the at-risk-of-poverty threshold, which is set at 60% of the national median equivalised disposable income after social transfers (Eurostat).

¹¹ The income quintile share ratio is a measure of the inequality of income distribution and is calculated as the ratio of total income received by the top quintile (the 20 % of the population with the highest income) to that received by the bottom quintile (the 20 % of the population with the lowest income).

was available under less restrictive eligibility criteria. However, the unemployment assistance allowance has been at a very low rate that does not even reach the subsistence level.¹²

Another pathway out of economic activity has been the disability benefit scheme. During the period studied, disability pensions were paid to people of working age, which is from 16 to the NRA, who had lost at least 40% of their capacity to work. The amount paid as the disability pension was calculated as the accrued old-age pension subject to a flat-rate minimum, multiplied by the percentage of the work capacity lost. As the pension age rose in the 2000s, the take-up of disability pensions increased markedly (Puur et al., 2015). At the same time, claiming a disability pension did not necessarily mean withdrawing from the labour force, as there was no earnings test for a disability pension and not being employed was not among the eligibility criteria.

The disability pension had the advantage over the general early retirement pension of having no earnings test, though it had a lower replacement rate. In addition to being paid without restrictions to working people, even those assessed to suffer full loss of work capacity, the disability pensions were also recalculated annually to reflect the additional pension rights accrued through employment, just like the old-age pensions.

4. Data, variables and descriptive statistics

This study uses individual-level data from administrative registers. The main source of information is the national pension register operated by the Estonian Social Insurance Board (ENSIB). The register data have several important advantages for the purposes of the study, as they cover all Estonian residents who have received pensions or social or family benefits, or have declared labour income or otherwise contributed to social insurance (the register data available for the analysis start from 2001); Furthermore, the data are not affected by non-response or recollection error concerning the labour market status of individuals. The disadvantages of the pension register data are that they do not indicate which individuals form households, and the set of socio-demographic variables is limited.

We use the data on female cohorts born between 1943 and 1952 in our analysis. The cohorts in the sample are chosen so that they reached either the ERA or the NRA or both between 2001 and 2011. We exclude all women who at any point take up a special early occupational pension or a pension on the basis of having raised three or more children or a disabled child. Figure 1 plots the share of old-age and disability pension recipients among those women by age and cohort. Practically all the women who have not already claimed a pension do so at the NRA, which is in line with the very low deferral rate. There is a positive age trend in the take-up of the disability pension, which explains the increase in the share of those receiving a pension before they reach the NRA in subsequent cohorts. The take-up of the disability pension increases from roughly 15% for the 1943 cohort to just above 30% for the 1952 cohort in our sample.

¹² The subsistence minimum is calculated annually by Statistics Estonia from minimum food basket and nonfood expenditures. At the end of the period in 2011, the subsistence minimum for a single person household was 186 EUR per month.



Figure 1. The proportion of pension recipients by cohort of women (%)

The outcome variable that is of primary interest for us is employment, which is available with monthly precision. A person is defined as employed in the given month if social tax was paid on their wages or their income from self-employment. A shortcoming of the administrative data is that individuals who do not receive labour income because they are temporarily absent from their job for holiday or sick leave, and their employer does not therefore make social tax contributions on their behalf, would be coded as inactive. To eliminate the gaps in continuous employment that are generated by regular vacations, we recoded all one-month periods of inactivity and all two-month gaps in July and August as employment. Two-month gaps are typical for teachers and affect people with higher education. Another limitation of the data is that the outcome variable only covers declared employment, while informal employment in the shadow economy remains unobserved and is coded as inactivity in our data. Given the relatively large share of working hours for undeclared pay in Estonia, a share which was estimated to be 15% of total hours worked in 2015 (Zukauskas and Schneider, 2016), this may be a concern. To assess the magnitude of the problem, we compared the employment rates from the register data with those from the labour force survey. The results of the comparison suggest that while there is a noticeable gap in employment rates for men in their prime working age, the difference is quite small for women, particularly for the older age groups examined in this study. Finally, we cannot observe working hours in our data, so adjustments along the intensive margin cannot be studied.

Figure 2 shows the age-specific employment rates for the women included in the final sample by cohort. It can immediately be seen that there is an acceleration in the drop in the employment rate at the ERA and at the NRA, but it is much less pronounced and sharp than the change for pension recipients. For certain cohorts, the effect of the economic recession makes the shifts driven by the pension age reform somewhat difficult to discern. There is also an

apparent cohort effect as the employment rate is higher throughout for the younger cohorts. Among the older cohorts, (1943–1945), the age-employment profiles closely overlap until reaching the NRA, while the drop in employment rates at the NRA is gradually postponed as the retirement age increases. These cohorts were not affected by the increase in the ERA; the increase in the ERA begins with the 1946 cohort. Although the effect is smaller than that of the NRA, it can be discerned in the figure as the reduction in employment rates that follows the ERA is postponed with each cohort. For the younger cohorts, (1950–1952), the age-employment profiles are strongly influenced by the economic boom and recession, which make the effect of the increase in the ERA difficult to visually identify in the figure.



On top of the social security contributions from employment, those made by the state on behalf of the registered unemployed can also be observed in our data. We use contributions of this type to proxy for a second outcome state, unemployment. However, only those who are under the NRA are eligible to register as unemployed, which means that unemployment registration drops to zero as the NRA is reached. This implies that our study is only able to estimate how raising the ERA affects unemployment, but not how raising the NRA does. Before 2007, an average of 50% of the females aged 55 and over who were registered as unemployed could be identified in our database¹³. Those registered as unemployed who exhausted their insurance benefits or had too little work history to qualify for unemployment assistance could

¹³ Until 2006, unemployed individuals registered with the Unemployment Insurance Board were covered by state health insurance only while they were receiving benefits from unemployment insurance or assistance, and for the periods during which the social tax was paid and registered.

not be observed. From 2007, the coverage ratio of unemployed persons rose to 95%.¹⁴ The change represents a structural break in our data that we account for in the multivariate analysis. If a person was neither employed nor unemployed, they were classified as economically inactive.

Figure 3 shows the age profiles for the proportion of registered unemployment among the cohorts included in our study. Although the profiles are modulated to varying degrees by exogenous macro-economic factors, a common pattern can be discerned for most of the cohorts. At the beginning, the profiles tend to exhibit a moderate downward trend that reflects the period of economic growth prior to 2008. The break in the time series of registered unemployment noted above is hardly visible, as it occurred at a time of low unemployment and its effect was spread over a period of 12 months for each cohort. The downward trend is subsequently replaced by an increase in the proportion of unemployed. This is an outcome of the financial crisis, which affected Estonia more severely than most of the other European countries from 2008 to 2010. Unemployment increased for all cohorts under the NRA at that time, but especially for the 1951 and 1952 cohorts, which were under the ERA. After reaching the NRA, the level of registered unemployment drops to zero, but that drop occurs at older ages with each cohort.



¹⁴ As of 2007, eligibility for health insurance was extended to cover all those registered as unemployed, provided the social tax was paid.

For this study, the pension register was merged with the population census database of 1989 and additional data on educational attainment, type of settlement, immigrant status, language proficiency in a second language, and marital status were obtained. All these characteristics are time-fixed, measured at the census date. Table 2 presents the characteristics of the birth cohorts from 1943 to 1952.

	1943	1944	1945	1946	1947	1948	1949	1950	1951	1952
Labour market status during the year p	rior to	ERA (%)							
Employed	_	_	67.9	68.1	67.6	69.8	71.7	72.4	68.5	66.9
Unemployed	_	_	3.2	2.7	2.6	2.1	1.9	3.3	9.0	8.2
Inactive	_	_	29.0	29.2	29.8	28.1	26.4	24.3	22.4	24.9
Labour market status during the year p	rior to	NRA (%)							
Employed	63.3	62.2	60.1	59.0	58.7	60.8	55.4	53.7	_	_
Unemployed	3.4	2.4	2.3	1.7	1.2	1.8	5.3	4.2	_	_
Inactive	33.3	35.4	37.6	39.3	40.1	37.4	39.3	42.1	-	-
Educational attainment (%)										
Primary or basic	21.4	19.2	17.6	13.9	12.5	11.9	11.6	10.8	10.3	10.1
Secondary	30.1	31.9	32.6	32.8	31.5	32.9	33.5	34.1	32.3	31.9
Post-secondary, non-tertiary	26.2	27.5	27.4	29.3	31.7	32.9	32.8	31.9	32.8	33.6
Tertiary	21.5	20.7	21.6	22.9	23.4	21.4	21.3	22.3	23.9	23.6
Missing	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.9
Place of residence										
Rural	74.4	74.0	74.5	76.6	76.5	76.4	77.0	75.7	74.6	73.2
Urban	22.5	23.0	22.2	19.6	20.2	19.9	19.4	19.9	21.8	22.9
Missing	3.1	3.0	3.2	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.6	4.3	3.6	3.9
Immigrant status										
Native	73.9	71.5	67.8	60.2	58.0	58.0	54.5	55.7	56.5	56.1
Immigrant	22.7	25.1	28.7	35.8	38.4	38.2	41.7	39.7	39.6	39.7
Missing	3.5	3.4	3.5	4.1	3.6	3.9	3.8	4.6	3.9	4.2
Marital status (%)										
Married	68.2	68.2	69.6	70.3	70.1	70.9	72.2	69.7	73.6	74.2
Single	8.6	8.2	7.6	7.5	7.6	7.7	7.5	8.3	7.4	8.1
Widowed	5.2	4.2	3.8	3.3	3.4	2.9	2.1	2.1	2.1	1.8
Divorced, separated	14.9	16.2	15.7	15.1	15.5	14.8	14.5	15.4	13.2	11.9
Missing	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.7	3.7	4.4	3.7	4.0
Number of individuals	5156	5287	5473	6786	7183	7209	7677	7209	8319	8382
Number of observations (thousands)	270	336	381	474	503	505	536	470	453	355
Average number of obs. per individual	52	64	70	70	70	70	70	65	54	42

Table 2: Labour market status, and socio-demographic characteristics of the study population, women by birth cohort, Estonia

Note: Women who were granted a pension on the basis of having raised three or more children or a disabled child, having worked in specific occupations or branches of the economy deemed to be hazardous to health, or in specific civil service occupations such as the police or military are excluded.

Source: Authors' calculations based on data of the ENSIB register.

5. Empirical methodology

The estimation strategy used in this article to evaluate the impact of a rise in the pension age is a difference-in-differences approach similar to that employed by Vestad (2013). Staubli and Zweimüller (2013), and Cribb et al. (2016). Since there were parallel shifts in the early retirement age and the normal retirement age, we use one equation to estimate their effect on employment. The treatment variables are thus below the ERA and below the NRA. For unemployment and inactivity, we are only able to estimate the effect of the ERA. Each individual in the sample is treated at some point in time and non-treated at another. We identify the effect of the treatment by comparing the labour market outcomes at the same age for different cohorts for whom pension eligibility starts at different times, but that are other-wise similar after adjustment for observable characteristics. The assumption underlying the model is that once the effects of the business cycle and individual characteristics are controlled for, the treatment and control groups would share a common age trend in the outcome variable without treatment, and the change or difference in the labour market behaviour would be attributable solely to the treatment variable. To eliminate the effect of fluctuations in labour demand that also impact labour market outcomes, we add quarterly time dummies to our model. The number of women in the treated and non-treated groups is shown in the Appendix (Tables A2 and A3).

For the employment outcome, the comparison between the groups is made by estimating linear probability models in the following form:

$$y_{ict} = \alpha BERA_{ict} + \beta BNRA_{ict} + \sum_t \delta_t T_t + \sum_a \delta_a A_a + \sum_c \delta_c C_c + \gamma X_i + \varepsilon_{ict}$$
(1)

For unemployment and inactivity it is:

$$y_{ict} = \alpha BERA_{ict} + \sum_t \delta_t T_t + \sum_a \delta_a A_a + \sum_c \delta_c C_c + \gamma X_i + \varepsilon_{ict}$$
(2)

The outcome variable of main interest on the left-hand side y_{it} in equation (1) is an indicator for employment that takes the value 1 if a social tax payment was made for the person in the given month. In equation (2) the outcome variable is unemployment, defined as claiming unemployment benefits or being a registered unemployed in the given month, with inactivity as the residual status. On the right-hand side, $BNRA_{ict}$ denotes the treatment variable of being below the NRA in the given month and $BERA_{ict}$ is that of being below the ERA.

Control variables are added to the models in a stepwise fashion. The first model (M1) includes controls for the business cycle and quarterly age dummies. To control for business cycle effects, a series of quarterly time dummies (T_t) is employed. Doing this means the effects of the business cycle are assumed to be similar across the individuals observed in the same quarter, which is a standard assumption in the difference-in-differences approach. To control for age effects, quarterly age dummies (A_a) are included. In the second model (M2), a control for the effects associated with the birth cohort (C_c) is added. To avoid perfect linearity between age, time and cohort, we use a two-year specification for the birth cohort variable. Further, Model M3 adds controls for individual characteristics of educational attainment, immigrant status, rural or urban place of residence, marital status, and language proficiency (X_i) . Model M4 loosens the standard assumption in the time trend, we add the interactions of our quarterly time dummies with educational attainment and place of residence. The thinking behind Model M4 is that the global economic recession, which began in 2008, hit people with

low educational attainment and rural residents harder. Finally, Model M5 introduces fixed effects in order to maximise the control of differences between individuals in the population studied.

To make sure that the groups are sufficiently similar, equation (1) is estimated on a narrow age group of 56 years to 61 years and equation (2) is estimated on 56 years to 59 years. In addition, only those birth cohorts that reached the NRA or ERA within the period studied are considered. Technically, we estimate the equations as a panel data GLS random effects linear probability model with robust standard errors to take account of the panel structure of our data, where disturbance terms may not be independent within individuals. A linear probability model was selected because it provides a straightforward interpretation of the estimates in terms of the change in employment, unemployment and inactivity rates.

To provide an insight into how the response to the pension age reform has varied across subgroups, we estimate additional models for employment that interact the treatment variables with three individual characteristics of educational attainment, place of residence and immigrant status. The purpose of the interaction models is to ascertain whether the more vulnerable subgroups of the population, which are people with low educational attainment, people of immigrant origin, and rural residents, have experienced greater difficulty in adapting to the rise in the statutory pension age.

6. Results

6.1 The employment response to the rise in the retirement age

Table 3 reports the results for how the rise in the ERA and the NRA affects employment for women born in 1943–1952, who were affected by the pension age reform in Estonia in the years 2001–2011. In the initial model (M1), being under the NRA increases the probability of a person being employed by 4.2 percentage points and being under the ERA increases it by an additional 3.5 percentage points, controlling for age and the business cycle. To put the magnitude of the effect into perspective, the employment rate in the year before reaching the NRA was in the range of 54% to 63% and before reaching the ERA in the range of 67% to 72% depending on the cohort. The results imply that a rise of one year in the ERA and NRA combined would delay labour market exit by 9/10 of a month.

	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5
Below NRA	0.042*** (0.002)	0.041*** (0.002)	0.041*** (0.002)	0.041*** (0.002)	0.041*** (0.002)
Below ERA	0.035*** (0.001)	0.034*** (0.001)	0.034*** (0.001)	0.034*** (0.001)	0.034*** (0.001)
Randomeffects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Fixed effects	No	No	No	No	Yes
Quarterly age dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Two-year cohort dummies	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Quarterly time dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Quarterly time dummies * tertiary education	No	No	No	Yes	No
Quarterly time dummies * rural	No	No	No	Yes	No
Individual controls	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Observations	4,284,535	4,284,535	4,284,535	4,107,709	4,247,576
Number of individuals	68,681	68,681	68,681	65,787	68,077

Table 3: The effect of the ERA and NRA rise on employment, female birth cohorts 1943 to 1952, Estonia

Reading: Robust standard errors in parentheses. *Significance level*: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.

Notes: The data cover women aged 56–61 from cohorts born between 1943 and 1952.

The estimated effect of being under both the ERA and the NRA varies very little across different specifications. We add two-year cohort dummies in model M2, but this does not substantially change the estimated treatment effects. In model M3 we add individual time invariant control variables, and in model M4 we allow for different time trends for people with tertiary education and for those living in rural areas, while finally we estimate the model with fixed effects in M5. The estimates are remarkably stable across the different models, with virtually no change associated with the inclusion of controls in the model. However, the effect associated with being below the ERA tends to be smaller than that associated with being below the NRA. This is in line with there being hardly any discontinuity in the employment rate across age at the ERA, unlike in many industrialised countries (Coile and Wise, 2004). A plausible explanation for the rise in the ERA having a smaller effect is that an early pension is usually claimed by people who have already left the labour market. The low level of pensions, the additional downward adjustment and the stringent earnings test make the early pension an unattractive reason for exiting employment. While the earnings test is commonly considered a disincentive to work (e.g. Cribb et al., 2016), it may also operate as a disincentive to claiming an early pension.

That there is no large drop in the employment rate when people reach the statutory pension ages already hints that the immediate effect is small. Notably, the effect observed is smaller than the lower estimates from the literature of 6.3 percentage points found by Cribb et al. (2016) for Great Britain, or 9.75–11 percentage points reported by Staubli and Zweimüller (2013) for Austria. Our result is in line with the considerable incentives to work beyond the NRA in Estonia discussed earlier in the article, which come from the low level of wealth, and the low

level of pensions compared to average wages. There are equally no disincentives to working past the retirement age like changes in marginal tax rates on earnings, or income tests. Some authors (Behaghel and Blau, 2012; Cribb et al., 2016) have argued that the statutory retirement age has a signalling effect and serves as a benchmark of the appropriate age to retire. In the Estonian case, the benchmark role of the statutory retirement age may have been blurred by the long-lasting stepwise increase in the retirement age, which has loosened the association between reaching a particular age and retiring.

6.2 The response of unemployment and inactivity to the rise in the early retirement age

Eligibility to register as unemployed and claim benefits ends when the NRA is reached, so we are only able to investigate the response of unemployment and inactivity to ERA. We estimate a set of five models, M1–M5, with controls added in a similar stepwise fashion to that used in the analysis of the response of employment. Before 2007 we are able to identify those registered unemployed who receive either insurance benefits or assistance benefits, who are about half of all the registered unemployed. Starting from 2007, we can identify all the registered unemployed whether they are receiving benefits or not. To account for the significant structural break, we include an interaction term for the treatment effect with an indicator variable for the period after the change. Table 4 reports the results for the change in the ERA, which confirm that the effect is quite small. In the period after the change, the effect doubles, like the aggregate number of unemployed does.

Given the relatively strict eligibility criteria, the limited duration of benefit payments, and the low generosity, it is not surprising that the unemployment protection system is not an attractive alternative route out of employment for those of pre-retirement age in Estonia.

As the effect of a rising ERA increases the employment rate and the probability of unemployment, it reduces inactivity, i.e. pension age reform reduces the likelihood of being economically inactive. However, in line with the estimates for unemployment, the effect of the ERA on inactivity is relatively small. The probability that those below the ERA would be inactive is therefore estimated to be 3.7 percentage points less before 2007 and 4.5 percentage points less as of 2007, controlling for the effects of age, business cycle, and individual characteristics. The parameter estimates for the effects of the ERA on both unemployment and inactivity corroborate the modelling results for the employment response reported above, and are also highly stable across models.

	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5
Below ERA	0.011***	0.011***	0.011***	0.011***	0.011***
on unemployment	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Below ERA*from 2007	0.007***	0.009***	0.009***	0.009***	0.009***
onunemployment	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Below ERA	0.038***	-0.036***	-0.037***	-0.037***	-0.036***
on inactivity	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Below ERA* from 2007	-0.007***	-0.008***	-0.008***	-0.008***	-0.009***
on inactivity	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Randomeffects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Fixed effects	No	No	No	No	Yes
Quarterly age dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Two-year cohort dummies	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Quarterly time dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Quarterly time dummies * tertiary education	No	No	No	Yes	No
Quarterly time dummies * rural	No	No	No	Yes	No
Individual controls	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
Observations	3,072,934	3,072,934	2,945,363	2,945,363	3,046,561
Number of individuals	68,640	68,640	65,766	65,766	68,044

Table 4: The effect of the rise in the ERA on unemployment and inactivity, female birth cohorts 1943 to 1952, Estonia

Reading: Robust standard errors in parentheses. *Significance level*: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.1

Notes: The data cover women aged 56–59 from cohorts born between 1943 and 1952

6.3 Heterogeneity of the response to the rise in the retirement age

The effect of pension age reforms may vary across sub-groups of the population. The rationale behind this assertion is that some groups of older workers may face greater difficulties than others in extending their stay in employment in response to pension age reforms, for reasons such as poor skills, poor health, employment in declining sectors or occupations, or residence in regions with decreasing demand for labour (e.g., Staubli and Zweimüller, 2013; Rabaté and Rochut, 2019). If there are no alternative exit routes from the labour force available, these groups that we observe may experience disproportionate hardship in adapting to the challenges posed by pension reform. At the same time, it can be assumed that sub-groups with fewer resource constraints or that already have very high employment rates even past the pre-reform eligibility age may exhibit a weaker response to reforms.

To ascertain whether this might be the case in Estonia, we analysed the different experiences of three sub-groups of older people using the information that was available in our dataset for educational attainment, place of residence as rural or urban, and immigrant status. The labour market statistics indicate that people with low educational attainment, rural residents, and people of immigrant origin perform systematically worse in the job market in Estonia and their employment rate is lower than average (Statistics Estonia, 2019).

In order to investigate the heterogeneity of the employment response to the increase in the NRA and ERA, we estimated models based on Specification M3, (Table 3), adding inter-action terms for the treatment variables, (being below the ERA and being below the NRA), with educational attainment, place of residence and immigrant status. The estimation results presented in the first column of Table 5 indicate that the effect of being below the NRA and the ERA has a smaller effect on the employment rate of people with tertiary education relative to people with primary or basic education. In fact, being under the ERA does not change the labour market outcome of people with tertiary education at all. This is consistent with the fact that early pensions take up decreases and on the other hand, employment increases with education. People with tertiary education are more likely to have savings, which means their retirement decisions are determined less by the start of pension eligibility. At the same time they are healthier on average and probably have a white-collar job, and often continue working past their pension age while drawing a pension.

Rural residents exhibit less response to the increase in both the ERA and NRA compared with urban residents (the second column of Table 5). This can be associated with a higher tendency of rural residents to be in receipt of a disability pension and to have a lower rate of employment in the year preceding the ERA.

According to the last column in Table 5, the impact of the rise in the ERA appears to be mainly driven by people with immigrant background, who respond more strongly to the rise in the ERA much more than non-immigrants do. Immigrants and their descendants are more than one third of the total population in Estonia (Statistics Estonia, 2019) and they originate predominantly from other former Soviet republics, mainly Russia and Ukraine. A characteristic feature of the immigrant population in Estonia is its relatively low level of integration with the host society, which is reflected in the limited skills in the language of the host country (Rahnu et al., 2015; Puur et al., 2017). A stronger response to the increase in the ERA among immigrants may be due to their tendency to resort to early retirement more than the native population; the difference with regard to the NRA is negligible.

	M1	M2	M3
Below NRA	0.043***	0.046***	0.041***
	(0.004)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Interaction of below NRA and education (relative to the			
treatment effect of primary/basic education)	0.000		
Below NRA*secondary	0.008		
Below NRA * nost-secondary non-tertiary	(0.005) 0.002		
below twice post-secondary non-tertiary	(0.002)		
Below NRA * tertiary	-0.020***		
·	(0.005)		
Interaction of below NRA and rural residence (relative to			
the treatment effect of urban residents)			
Below NRA*rural		-0.022***	
		(0.004)	
Interaction of below NRA and immigrant status (relative			
to the treatment effect of natives)			0.000
Below NRA*immigrant			0.002
Polow FD A	0.044***	0.020***	(0.003)
Delow EKA	(0.044)	(0.039)	(0.003)
Interaction of below FRA and education (relative to the	(0.004)	(0.002)	(0.002)
treatment effect of primary/basic education)			
Below ERA*secondary	0.008		
·	(0.005)		
Below ERA* post-secondary non-tertiary	-0.007		
	(0.005)		
Below ERA*tertiary	-0.043***		
	(0.005)		
Interaction of below ERA and rural residence (relative to			
Relow EPA *rural		0.020***	
Delow ENA Tutal		(0.003)	
Interaction of below ERA and nativity (relative to the		(0.005)	
treatment effect of natives)			
Below ERA*immigrant			0.064***
			(0.003)
Randomeffects	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quarterly age dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes
Two-year cohort dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quarterly time dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quarterly time dummes * tertiary education	Yes	Yes	Yes
Quarterly time dummies * rural	Yes	Yes	Yes
Individual controls	Yes	Yes	Yes
Constant	0.496***	0.506***	0.494***
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)
Observations	4,107,709	4,107,709	4,107,709
Number of individuals	65 787	65 787	65 787

Table 5: The effect of the rise in the NRA and the ERA on employment by educational attainment, place of residence and nativity, female birth cohorts 1943 to 1952, Estonia

Reading: Robust standard errors in parentheses. *Significance level*: *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. *Notes*: The data cover women aged 56–61 from cohorts born between 1943 and 1952.

7. Conclusions

In many countries governments are currently in the process of raising retirement ages to make public pension systems more sustainable in the long term. An important goal of the policy change is to encourage people to delay their exit from the labour market. There are several ways to do this. Raising the retirement age reduces social security wealth, which should increase the labour supply in response; tax incentives that encourage individuals to retire at the statutory retirement age could be shifted towards a later age; liquidity constraints can stop people from withdrawing from the labour market before they become eligible for an old-age pension; and changing the statutory retirement age might have a signalling effect that it is appropriate to retire at a later age.

This article examined the effect of the Estonian pension reform that raised the normal retirement age for women from consecutive birth cohorts born in 1943 to 1950 in six-month steps from 58 to 61.5 in the period from 2000 to 2011, and the early retirement age from 56 to 59.5 for the cohorts born in 1945 to 1952. Our results suggest that the reform did indeed have a significant impact on the employment rate for the women who were affected by the reform, with point estimates of a rise of 4.1 percentage points associated with the rise in the normal retirement age, and of 3.4 percentage points associated with the rise in the early retirement age. The impact of the rise in the ERA had less effect for people with tertiary education and rural residents, while it had more impact on people with an immigrant background. We also find evidence that the rise in the ERA had a small positive impact of 1 percentage point on unemployment benefit claims in the first half of the period studied and an impact of 2 percentage points on registered unemployment in the second half, which reduced the fiscal savings from the pension reform.

The magnitude of the treatment effect is lower than that which earlier studies have found in other countries. This can be explained by contextual factors. The low level of pensions encourages people to earn wages whenever possible, weakening the link between retirement and the start of pension eligibility. Furthermore, a permanent reduction in the amount paid as a pension and a very punitive earnings test for drawing a pension before the NRA discourage early retirement. The relatively lax eligibility criteria and the favourable conditions for claiming disability pensions allowed people with higher disutility from work to exit employment smoothly before they reached retirement age.

Further rises in the pension age are inevitable in Estonia, as in many other countries, if the public pension system is to be kept solvent. As pension take-up shifts in lock step with the start of eligibility, the fiscal gains from the reform are guaranteed, though they are reduced somewhat by the increase in the costs of other social security programmes. Our results suggest that the gains in employment that are directly attributable to the pension age reform may not be large if employment rates for older people are already high, while the disincentives to working while drawing pensions or other benefits like disability benefits are low.

Our study contributes to the literature in two ways. Firstly, our relatively low estimates show that the employment effect of lifting the retirement age depends strongly on the features of the social security system. Low replacement rates in combination with the lack of tax and financial disincentives tend to weaken the link between pension eligibility and employment decisions. Secondly, we add to the scarce literature on the outcomes of pension age reforms in Central and Eastern European countries, where private pension savings are relatively low, there are next to no company pension schemes, and income tax systems are often less progressive.

References

- Atalay, K.; Barrett, G. (2015): The impact of age pension eligibility age on retirement and program dependence: evidence from an Australian experiment. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **97**(1), 71–87.
- Behaghel, L.; Blau, D.M. (2012): Framing social security reform: Behavioral responses to changes in the full retirement age. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* **4**(4), 41–67.
- Blau, D.M.; Goldstein, R.M. (2010): Can social security explain trends in labor force participation of older men in the United States? *The Journal of Human Resources* **45**(2), 328–363.
- Burtless, F.; Moffitt, R.A. (1984): The effect of social security benefits of the labor supply of the aged. In Aaron, H.J.; Burtless, F. (eds) *Retirement and economic behavior*. Studies in Social Economics Series. Brookings Institution, Washington, DC, 135–171.
- Cribb, J.; Emmerson, C.; Tetlow, G. (2016): Signals matter? Large retirement responses to limited financial incentives. *Labour Economics* **42**, 203–212.
- Coile, C.; Wise, D.A. (2004): The effect of social security on retirement in the United States. In Gruber, J.; Wise, D.A. (eds.) Social Security Programs and Retirement around the World: Micro-Estimation. University of Chicago Press, 691–730.
- Cseres-Gergely, Z. (2014): What effect does increasing the retirement age have on the employment rate older women? Empirical evidence from retirement age hikes in Hungary during the 2000s. Institute of Economics, Centre for Economic and Regional Studies, Hungarian Academy of Sciences. Budapest Working Papers on the Labour Market No 1403.
- European Commission (2018): The 2018 Pension Adequacy Report: current and future income adequacy in old age in the EU. Vol I.
- Gruber, J.; Orszag, P. (2003): Does the social security earnings test affect labor supply and benefits receipt? *National Tax Journal* **56**(4), 755–773.
- Gruber, J.; Wise, D.A. (1999): Introduction to social security and retirement around the world. In Gruber, J.; Wise, D.A. (eds.) Social Security and Retirement around the World, University of Chicago Press, 1–35.
- Gustman, A.L.; Steinmeier, T.L. (1985): The 1983 social security reforms and labor supply adjustments of older individuals in the long run. *Journal of Labor Economics* **3**(2), 237–253.
- Hernæs, E.; Jia, Z. (2013): Earnings distribution and labour supply after a retirement earnings test reform. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* **75**(3), 410–434.
- Laun, T.; Wallenius, J. (2016): Social insurance and retirement: A cross-country perspective. *Review of Economic Dynamics* (Print) **22**, 72–92.
- Leppik, L. (2018): Estonia (country article). In Becker, P.; Schütz, J.; Zimmermann, A. (eds). *Ageing Workforce, Social Cohesion and Sustainable Development. Political Challenges within the Baltic Sea Region*. Berlin. Population Europe Discussion Paper 9/2018.

- Leppik, L.; Võrk, A. (2006): Pension reform in Estonia. In Fultz, E. (ed.). *Pension reform in the Baltic states*. International Labour Office, Budapest, 17–141.
- Komada, O.; Strzelecki, P.; Tyrowicz, J. (2019): A regression discontinuity evaluation of reducing early retirement eligibility in Poland. *International Journal of Manpower* 40(5), 286–303.
- Mastrobuoni, G. (2009): Labor supply effects of the recent social security benefit cuts: Empirical estimates using cohort discontinuities. *Journal of Public Economics* **93**(11–12), 1224–1233.
- Medijainen, M. (2011): Incentives to retire imposed by old-age pension policy in Estonia. *Estonian Discussions on Economic Policy* **19**(2), 101–120.
- Mitchell, O.S.; Fields, G.S. (1984): The economics of retirement behavior. *Journal of Labor Economics* 2(1), 84–105.
- OECD (2017): Pensions at a Glance 2017. OECD and G20 Indicators, OECD Publishing, Paris. http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/pension_glance-2017-en
- Puur, A.; Leppik, L.; Klesment, M. (2015): Changes in pension take-up and retirement in the context of increasing the pension age: the case of Estonia in the 2000s. *Post-Communist Economies* 27(4), 497–516.
- Puur, A: Rahnu, L.; Abuladze, L.; Sakkeus, L.; Zakharov, S. (2017): Childbearing among firstand second-generation Russians in Estonia against the background of the sending and host countries. *Demographic Research* 36, 1209–1254.
- Rabaté, S.; Rochut, J. (2019): Employment and substitution effects of raising the statutory retirement age in France. *Journal of Pension Economics and Finance* (online), 1–16.
- Rahnu, L.; Puur, A.; Sakkeus, L.; Klesment, M. (2015): Partnership dynamics of migrants and their descendants in Estonia. *Demographic Research* **32**, 1519–1566.
- Rust, J.; Phelan, C. (1997): How social security and medicare affect retirement behavior in a world of incomplete markets. *Econometrica* **65**(4), 781–832.
- Rabaté, S.; Rochut, J. (2019): Employment and substitution effects of raising the statutory retirement age in France. *Journal of Pension Economic and Finance*, 1–16.
- Statistics Estonia (2019): Statistical database. https://www.stat.ee/database
- Staubli, S.; Zweimüller, J. (2013): Does raising the early retirement age increase employment of older workers? *Journal of Public Economics* **108**(C), 17–32.
- Staubli, S.; Lalive, R. (2016): How to delay labor market exit and pension claiming? Annual Conference 2016: Demographic Change 145550. Verein für Socialpolitik/German Economic Association.
- Vestad, O.L. (2013): Labour supply effects of early retirement provision. *Labour Economics* **25**(C), 98–109.
- Weber, A.M. (2016): The Effects of the Early Retirement Age on Retirement Decisions. CEPR Discussion Paper No. DP11491. Available at SSRN: <u>https://ssrn.com/abstract=2834828</u>

Zukauskas, V.; Schneider, F. (2016): Micro based results of shadow labour market in the Baltic states, Poland and Sweden. *Applied Economics: Systematic Research* 10(2), 117–133.

Appendix

Table A1: Estimates of the effect of retirement age rises on employment rates in previous studies

Study	Gender	Retirement age before and after the reform	Selection based on labour market status	Age group	Estimated DD employment rate effect (percentage points)
Austria	men	60=>62	no selection	57–64	9,75
Staubli and Zweimüller (2013)	women	55=>58.5	no selection	52–59	11
United Kingdom Cribb, Emmerson and Tetlow (2016)	women	60=>62	no selection	60	6,3
Norway Vestad (2013)	both sexes	64=>62	at least 10 years of work experience since the age of 50	63	-27,1
France Rabaté and Rochut (2019)	both sexes	60=>61	no selection	60–61	21
					Estimated RDD employment rate effect
Switzerland Staubli and Lalive (2016)	women	62=>63	worked at least once between 50 and 53	63	4 to 6 months delay

Birth	Age (completed years)					
cohort —	56	57	58	59	60	61
1943		2525	5111	5064	5019	4979
1944	2574	5244	5189	5135	5080	5048
1945	5438	5377	5320	5256	5198	5141
1946	6731	6678	6616	6558	6484	6423
1947	7130	7072	7006	6955	6902	6836
1948	7161	7106	7046	6989	6937	6873
1949	7617	7538	7478	7413	7340	7291
1950	7176	7120	7066	7012	6970	3604
1951	8300	8296	8293	8278	4273	
1952	8358	8348	8327	4237		

Table A2: Number of individuals in the study population by age and birth cohort in the treatment and control groups in the models estimating the effect of the NRA rise

Note: The cells contain the number of women observed by cohort and age in June of each calendar year of the study, from 2001 to 2011. Women who were granted pensions on favourable conditions are excluded. The dark shading indicates women below the NRA; the light shading indicates cohorts of women who are partly below and partly above the NRA; no shading indicates women past the NRA.

Source: Authors calculations, ENSIB register.

Birth	Age (completed years)								
cohort —	56	57	58	59	60	61			
1943		2525	5111	5064	5019	4979			
1944	2574	5244	5189	5135	5080	5048			
1945	5438	5377	5320	5256	5198	5141			
1946	6731	6678	6616	6558	6484	6423			
1947	7130	7072	7006	6955	6902	6836			
1948	7161	7106	7046	6989	6937	6873			
1949	7617	7538	7478	7413	7340	7291			
1950	7176	7120	7066	7012	6970	3604			
1951	8300	8296	8293	8278	4273				
1952	8358	8348	8327	4237					

Table A3: Number of individuals in the study population by age and birth cohort in the treatment and control groups in the models estimating the effect of the ERA rise

Note: The cells contain the number of women observed by cohort and age in June of each calendar year of the study, from 2001 to 2011. Women who were granted pensions on favourable conditions are excluded. The dark shading indicates women below the ERA; the light shading indicates cohorts of women who are partly below and partly above the ERA; no shading indicates women past the ERA.

Source: Authors calculations, ENSIB register.

Working Papers of Eesti Pank 2020

No 1

Merike Kukk, W. Fred van Raaij. Joint and individual savings within families: evidence from bank accounts

No 2

Natalia Levenko. Elevated survey uncertainty after the Great Recession: a non-linear approach